



Nitto Denko Corporation

CDP Corporate Questionnaire 2026

Word version

Important: this export excludes unanswered questions

This document is an export of your organization's CDP questionnaire response. It contains all data points for questions that are answered or in progress. There may be questions or data points that you have been requested to provide, which are missing from this document because they are currently unanswered. Please note that it is your responsibility to verify that your questionnaire response is complete prior to submission. CDP will not be liable for any failure to do so.

[Read full terms of disclosure](#)

Contents

C1. Introduction.....	6
(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?	6
(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.	6
(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.	6
(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.....	6
(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?	7
(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.	7
(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?	8
(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.	10
(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	10
(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?	11
C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities.....	12
(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	12
(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?	13
(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?	13
(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.....	14
(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?	22
(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?	23
(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?	24
(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?	26
(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.	27
C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities.....	30
(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?.....	30
(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	31
(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.	40
(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?	41
(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?	41
(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.....	41
(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.	41

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?.....	45
(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?	46
(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.	46
(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.	52

C4. Governance 55

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?	55
(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?	56
(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.	56
(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?	60
(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?	62
(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	62
(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?	67
(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).	68
(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?	71
(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.	72
(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?	74
(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?	75
(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.	76
(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?	78
(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.	78

C5. Business strategy 82

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?	82
(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.	82
(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.	92
(5.2) Does your organization's strategy include a climate transition plan?	94
(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?.....	95
(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.....	95
(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.	97
(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?	98
(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?.....	99

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?	99
(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.	100
(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?	102
(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?	104
(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?	106
(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?	107
(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.	108
(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.	112
(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.	115

C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach 119

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.....	119
--	-----

C7. Environmental performance - Climate change 121

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?	121
(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?.....	121
(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?	121
(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.	122
(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.	122
(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?	123
(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions which are not included in your disclosure.	123
(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.	125
(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?	133
(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO ₂ e?	134
(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.	135
(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.	146
(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.	148
(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.	148
(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	150
(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.	151
(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?	152
(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.	152
(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?	159
(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?	159

(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?	159
(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?	159
(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).	160
(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.	163
(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	170
(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.	170
(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.	171
(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.	171
(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.	171
(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?	172
(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.	172
(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?	215
(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.	215
(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.	216
(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.	218
(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.	219
(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.	224
(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.	226
(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.	242
(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.	281
(7.30.19) Describe how your organization's renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.	298
(7.30.20) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?	298
(7.30.21) Provide details of the country/area-specific challenges to sourcing renewable electricity faced by your organization in the reporting year.	298
(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.	300
(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.	301
(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?	302
(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.	302
(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?	312
(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.	312
(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.	315
(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.	315
(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.	316
(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?	325
(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?	326
(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.	326

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?	328
C9. Environmental performance - Water security	329
(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?	329
(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.	329
(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?	330
(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?	337
(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.	340
(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.	342
(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.	345
(9.2.9) Indicate the highest level to which your wastewater is treated (either by your organization or a third party) before being discharged to the environment.	347
(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.	350
(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?	351
(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?	352
(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.	352
(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	353
(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?	353
(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?	354
(9.15) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.	355
(9.15.1) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.	356
C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity	361
(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?	361
(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?	361
(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?	361
C13. Further information & sign off	365
(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?	365
(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?	365
(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.	367
(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.	368
(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub to facilitate collective action in priority basins.	368

C1. Introduction

(1.1) In which language are you submitting your response?

Select from:

☒ English

(1.2) Select the currency used for all financial information disclosed throughout your response.

Select from:

☒ JPY

(1.3) Provide an overview and introduction to your organization.

(1.3.2) Organization type

Select from:

☒ Publicly traded organization

(1.3.3) Description of organization

(As of April 1, 2026) Company Name: Nitto Denko Corporation Head Office: 33rd Floor, Grand Front Osaka, 4-20, Ofuka-cho, Kita-ku, Osaka 530-0011, Japan Board Member President & CEO: Hideo TAKASAKI Established: 1918/Oct/25 Capital: 26.7 billion yen, The Stock Exchange: Tokyo Stock Exchange, Prime Market Stock Ticker Number: 6988, Fiscal Year End: March 31, Net Sales: 1028.2 billion yen (Consolidated, FY2025) 576 billion yen (Non-Consolidated, FY2025) Employees: 28,006 (Consolidated) 7,084 (Non-Consolidated) Global Network: 88 companies.

[Fixed row]

(1.4) State the end date of the year for which you are reporting data. For emissions data, indicate whether you will be providing emissions data for past reporting years.

(1.4.1) End date of reporting year

(1.4.2) Alignment of this reporting period with your financial reporting period

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.3) Indicate if you are providing emissions data for past reporting years

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.4.4) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 1 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 1 year

(1.4.5) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 2 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 1 year

(1.4.6) Number of past reporting years you will be providing Scope 3 emissions data for

Select from:

☒ 1 year

[Fixed row]

(1.4.1) What is your organization's annual revenue for the reporting period?

1028171000000

(1.5) Provide details on your reporting boundary.

	Is your reporting boundary for your CDP disclosure the same as that used in your financial statements?
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(1.6) Does your organization have an ISIN code or another unique identifier (e.g., Ticker, CUSIP, etc.)?

ISIN code - bond

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

ISIN code - equity

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

JP3684000007

CUSIP number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

Ticker symbol

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

SEDOL code

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

LEI number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

D-U-N-S number

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(1.6.2) Provide your unique identifier

690538913

Other unique identifier

(1.6.1) Does your organization use this unique identifier?

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(1.7) Select the countries/areas in which you operate.

Select all that apply

- ☒ China
- ☒ India
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Brazil
- ☒ Mexico
- ☒ Malaysia
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Viet Nam
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ Philippines
- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Czechia
- ☒ Germany
- ☒ Hungary
- ☒ Türkiye
- ☒ Taiwan, China
- ☒ Korea (The Republic of)
- ☒ United States of America

(1.8) Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?

	Are you able to provide geolocation data for your facilities?	Comment
	Select from: ☒ No, this is confidential data	There are no additional notes.

[Fixed row]

(1.24) Has your organization mapped its value chain?

(1.24.1) Value chain mapped

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have mapped or are currently in the process of mapping our value chain

(1.24.2) Value chain stages covered in mapping

Select all that apply

☒ Upstream value chain

☒ Downstream value chain

(1.24.3) Highest supplier tier mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(1.24.4) Highest supplier tier known but not mapped

Select from:

☒ Tier 4+ suppliers

(1.24.7) Description of mapping process and coverage

The Nitto Group monitors and manages the upstream of the value chain, from primary suppliers to secondary suppliers connected through trading companies. The information that is monitored and managed includes the supplier's industry, name, the name of the raw materials in question, and the amount of the purchase. As for the downstream of the value chain, the Group monitors and manages its direct customers. The information that is monitored and managed includes the customer's industry, name, the name of the products in question, and the sales amount.

[Fixed row]

C2. Identification, assessment, and management of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(2.1) How does your organization define short-, medium-, and long-term time horizons in relation to the identification, assessment, and management of your environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Short-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

0

(2.1.3) To (years)

2

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

As a short-term measure, the Nitto Group develops an annual budget each year.

Medium-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

3

(2.1.3) To (years)

4

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

As a mid-term, the Nitto Group develops a mid-term management plan every three years. The annual budget and the mid-term management plan include targets related to the environment, and measures are implemented to achieve these targets.

Long-term

(2.1.1) From (years)

5

(2.1.2) Is your long-term time horizon open ended?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.1.4) How this time horizon is linked to strategic and/or financial planning

As for the long term, the Nitto Group has set goals for 2030 and 2050.
[Fixed row]

(2.2) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies and/or impacts?

	Process in place	Dependencies and/or impacts evaluated in this process
	Select from: ☒ Yes	Select from: ☒ Both dependencies and impacts

[Fixed row]

(2.2.1) Does your organization have a process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental risks and/or opportunities?

	Process in place	Risks and/or opportunities evaluated in this process	Is this process informed by the dependencies and/or impacts process?
	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Both risks and opportunities	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(2.2.2) Provide details of your organization's process for identifying, assessing, and managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities.

Row 1

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Full

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers
- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Databases

- ☒ Regional government databases, please specify :Hazard maps from each municipality official sites

Other

- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Cyclone, hurricane, typhoon
- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Heavy precipitation (rain, hail, snow/ice)

Chronic physical

- ☒ Changing precipitation patterns and types (rain, hail, snow/ice)
- ☒ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☒ Increased severity of extreme weather events
- ☒ Sea level rise
- ☒ Temperature variability

Policy

- ☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms
- ☒ Changes to national legislation
- ☒ Other policy risk, please specify :Shortage of solid waste disposal sites

Market

- ☒ Changing customer behavior
- ☒ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials
- ☒ Other market risk, please specify :Recycled materials or renewable raw materials are unavailable or increase costs.

Reputation

- ☒ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g., GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress, excessive bycatch)

Technology

- ☒ Transition to lower emissions technology and products

Liability

- ☒ Exposure to sanctions and litigation
- ☒ Non-compliance with regulations and legislation

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Customers
- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Suppliers

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Regarding key risks and opportunities related to climate change in business activities, the Group understands the impact of changes in the internal and external environment; evaluates and identifies (selects) relative importance based on the “magnitude of impact” on business in the case of an incident and the “possibility of occurrence”; and determines the priority of the risks and opportunities. We utilize scenario analysis to identify the risks and opportunities associated with the shift to a low-carbon economy that are expected due to climate change, as well as the risks of physical damage posed by factors such as extreme weather, for not only Nitto but the entire value chain stretching from our suppliers to customers, and then make a qualitative and quantitative assessment of the possible financial impacts. The key risks and opportunities related to the environment issues including climate change will be monitored by business execution departments and regional management teams in collaboration, while the department responsible for environmental issues will assume responsibility for managing them. Information regarding monitored risks, together with information managed by other special function departments, will be reported and deliberated monthly at the Corporate Strategy Meeting, which consists of Directors and Vice Presidents. The results of the deliberations will be instantly instructed to each responsible department and countermeasures will be promptly taken to strengthen controls. The progress of the implementation and improvement will be again reported to the Corporate Strategy Meeting to increase the effectiveness of the Group management. At the end of the fiscal year, the department in charge of the environment, as the department responsible for the environment management, conducts a self-evaluation on the major risks associated with the environment issues including climate change that were reported and reviewed in the Corporate Strategy Meeting in accordance with evaluation criteria such as the implementation structure establishment, controls and preventative measures implementation, and the occurrence of incidents as well as the responses to them. The department in charge of risk management evaluates the results of the self-evaluation from an independent viewpoint. The risk management department and the Vice President in charge of risk management independently conduct evaluations based on established criteria and report the results to the Corporate Strategy Meeting and the Board of Directors.

Row 2

(2.2.2.1) Environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Water

(2.2.2.2) Indicate which of dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities are covered by the process for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Dependencies

☒ Impacts

☒ Risks

☒ Opportunities

(2.2.2.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain

(2.2.2.4) Coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Partial

(2.2.2.5) Supplier tiers covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers
- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(2.2.2.7) Type of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(2.2.2.8) Frequency of assessment

Select from:

- ☒ Annually

(2.2.2.9) Time horizons covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Short-term
- ☒ Medium-term
- ☒ Long-term

(2.2.2.10) Integration of risk management process

Select from:

- ☒ Integrated into multi-disciplinary organization-wide risk management process

(2.2.2.11) Location-specificity used

Select all that apply

- ☒ Site-specific
- ☒ Local

(2.2.2.12) Tools and methods used

Commercially/publicly available tools

- ☒ ENCORE
- ☒ Other commercially/publicly available tools, please specify :**Global Forest**

Watch

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct
- ☒ WWF Biodiversity Risk Filter
- ☒ IBAT – Integrated Biodiversity Assessment Tool
- ☒ LEAP (Locate, Evaluate, Assess and Prepare) approach, TNFD

International methodologies and standards

- ☒ Life Cycle Assessment

Other

- ☒ External consultants
- ☒ Scenario analysis

(2.2.2.15) Risk types and criteria considered

Acute physical

- ☒ Drought
- ☒ Flood (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, ground water)
- ☒ Subsidence
- ☒ Toxic spills

Chronic physical

- ☑ Water stress
- ☑ Sea level rise
- ☑ Saline intrusion
- ☑ Soil degradation
- ☑ Groundwater depletion
- ☑ Water quality at a basin/catchment level
- ☑ Water availability at a basin/catchment level
- ☑ Changing temperature (air, freshwater, marine water)
- ☑ Increased levels of environmental pollutants in freshwater or marine bodies
- ☑ Declining water quality
- ☑ Temperature variability
- ☑ Declining ecosystem services
- ☑ Increased ecosystem vulnerability
- ☑ Change in land/freshwater/ocean use

Policy

- ☑ Increased pricing of water
- ☑ Mandatory water efficiency, conservation, recycling, or process standards
- ☑ Regulation of discharge quality/volumes
- ☑ Statutory water withdrawal limits/changes to water allocation

Market

- ☑ Changing customer behavior
- ☑ Lack of availability and/or increased cost of raw materials

Reputation

- ☑ Impact on human health
- ☑ Negative press coverage related to support of projects or activities with negative impacts on the environment (e.g., GHG emissions, deforestation & conversion, water stress, excessive bycatch)

Liability

- ☑ Exposure to sanctions and litigation
- ☑ Non-compliance with regulations and legislation

(2.2.2.16) Partners and stakeholders considered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Employees
- ☒ Suppliers
- ☒ Regulators
- ☒ Local communities
- ☒ Indigenous peoples

- ☒ Other water users at the basin/catchment level

(2.2.2.17) Has this process changed since the previous reporting year?

Select from:

- ☒ No

(2.2.2.18) Further details of process

Regarding key environment risks and opportunities related to water, the Group understands the impact on the Company in association with changes in the internal and external environment; evaluates and identifies (selects) relative importance based on the “magnitude of impact” on business in the case of an incident and the “possibility of occurrence,” which actually occurs; and determines the priority of the risks and opportunities. The key risks and opportunities related to the environment issues including water will be monitored by business execution departments and regional management teams in collaboration, while the department responsible for environmental issues will assume responsibility for managing them. Information regarding monitored risks, together with information managed by other special function departments, will be reported and deliberated monthly at the Corporate Strategy Meeting, which consists of Directors and Vice Presidents. The results of the deliberations will be instantly instructed to each responsible department and countermeasures will be promptly taken to strengthen controls. The progress of the implementation and improvement will be again reported to the Corporate Strategy Meeting to increase the effectiveness of the Group management. At the end of the fiscal year, the department in charge of the environment, as the department responsible for the environment management, conducts a self-evaluation on the major risks associated with the environment issues including water that were reported and reviewed in the Corporate Strategy Meeting in accordance with evaluation criteria such as the implementation structure establishment, controls and preventative measures implementation, and the occurrence of incidents as well as the responses to them. The department in charge of risk management evaluates the results of the self-evaluation from an independent viewpoint. The risk management department and the Vice President in charge of risk management independently conduct evaluations based on established criteria and report the results to the Corporate Strategy Meeting and the Board of Directors.

[Add row]

(2.2.7) Are the interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed?

(2.2.7.1) Interconnections between environmental dependencies, impacts, risks and/or opportunities assessed

Select from:

☒ Yes

(2.2.7.2) Description of how interconnections are assessed

Regarding the risks and opportunities assumed to arise from the identified dependencies and impacts, we assess and identify their relative importance by understanding how changes in the internal and external environment will affect our company, assessing the 'degree of impact' on business if such events occur, and the 'likelihood of occurrence', while also determining the priority of these risks and opportunities. Additionally, when identifying risks and opportunities, we utilize scenario analysis to understand those related to the environment, including nature, and assess their potential financial impacts.

[Fixed row]

(2.3) Have you identified priority locations across your value chain?

(2.3.1) Identification of priority locations

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have identified priority locations

(2.3.2) Value chain stages where priority locations have been identified

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.3.3) Types of priority locations identified

Sensitive locations

☒ Areas important for biodiversity

☒ Areas of high ecosystem integrity

☒ Areas of importance for ecosystem service provision

Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities

☒ Locations with substantive dependencies, impacts, risks, and/or opportunities relating to water

(2.3.4) Description of process to identify priority locations

Regarding manufacturing site, we identified sensitive locations from the perspectives of "Biodiversity importance," "Ecosystems integrity," "Ecosystem service delivery importance," as well as "Water stress and drought risk" and "Impact on indigenous peoples." We designated site strongly connected to nature or local communities as material locations. Areas where sensitive locations and material locations overlap are particularly identified as key priority locations (described as priority locations). (Tools used: Aqueduct, iBAT, Global Forest Watch)

(2.3.5) Will you be disclosing a list/spatial map of priority locations?

Select from:

☒ No, we have a list/geospatial map of priority locations, but we will not be disclosing it

[Fixed row]

(2.4) How does your organization define substantive effects on your organization?

Risks

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

☒ Qualitative

☒ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

☒ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

☒ Absolute decrease

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

10000000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

We identify the risks and opportunities in the short term (less than three years), medium term (three to five years), and long term (five years or more) under the business environment assumed in the respective scenarios. The short-term and medium-term risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize.

Opportunities

(2.4.1) Type of definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Qualitative
- ☒ Quantitative

(2.4.2) Indicator used to define substantive effect

Select from:

- ☒ Revenue

(2.4.3) Change to indicator

Select from:

- ☒ Absolute increase

(2.4.5) Absolute increase/ decrease figure

10000000000

(2.4.6) Metrics considered in definition

Select all that apply

- ☒ Frequency of effect occurring
- ☒ Time horizon over which the effect occurs
- ☒ Likelihood of effect occurring

(2.4.7) Application of definition

We identify the risks and opportunities in the short term (less than three years), medium term (three to five years), and long term (five years or more) under the business environment assumed in the respective scenarios. The short-term and medium-term risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize.

[Add row]

(2.5) Does your organization identify and classify potential water pollutants associated with its activities that could have a detrimental impact on water ecosystems or human health?

(2.5.1) Identification and classification of potential water pollutants

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we identify and classify our potential water pollutants

(2.5.2) Details of policies and processes in place to identify and classify potential water pollutants

The Nitto Group identifies substances designated by the Water Pollution Prevention Act, prefectural ordinances, and the effluent water quality laws of various countries, as water pollutants that may have a harmful impact on the ecosystem, in accordance with internal policies such as Basic Policy on Environment and Basic Policy on Chemical Substance Management. We also manage these substances in accordance with our internal wastewater management standards. The Nitto Group complies with the Water Pollution Prevention Act, prefectural ordinances, and wastewater quality laws and regulations of each country, and each manufacturing site has established stricter standards for wastewater quality control than those required by law. For example, our Toyohashi Plant constantly monitors wastewater. Water quality is also analyzed regularly using instruments, and wastewater is analyzed using chemicals and precision equipment to confirm that it is within our voluntary standard values and that there are no abnormal values. As an example of voluntary standard values, the Toyohashi Plant strictly controls total phosphorus concentration by setting a standard of 6.4 mg/L for total phosphorus compared to the regulatory value of 16 mg/L under the Water Pollution Prevention

Act. For example, our Kanto Plant constantly monitors wastewater. Water quality is also analyzed regularly using instruments, and wastewater is analyzed using chemicals and precision equipment to confirm that it is within our voluntary standard values and that there are no abnormal values. As an example of voluntary standard values, the Kanto Plant strictly controls total phosphorus concentration by setting a standard of 13 mg/L for total phosphorus compared to the regulatory value of 16 mg/L under the Water Pollution Prevention Act.

[Fixed row]

(2.5.1) Describe how your organization minimizes the adverse impacts of potential water pollutants on water ecosystems or human health associated with your activities.

Row 1

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Nitrates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

The Nitto Group identifies and manages substances including nitrates designated by the Water Pollution Prevention Act, as water pollutants that may have a harmful effect on water ecosystems. We believe that the discharge of these substances may have harmful effects on water ecosystems around our business sites, such as eutrophication. Therefore, we have established voluntary standards that are stricter than the law to thoroughly control the water quality of wastewater.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- ☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience
- ☒ Improving wastewater treatment processes/capacity
- ☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- ☒ Provision of best practice instructions on product use

☒ Other, please specify :Compliance that exceeds regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Indicators for measuring and evaluating the success of the procedure

Select all that apply

☒ Compliance with discharge permits / regulatory limits

(2.5.1.6) Please explain

The Nitto Group has established voluntary standards at each of its manufacturing sites that are stricter than legal requirements to thoroughly control the quality of wastewater. One example of a stricter standard is the Toyohashi Plant's standard of 80 mg/L for total nitrogen concentration, compared to the regulatory value of 100 mg/L under the Water Pollution Prevention Act. This is an indicator of success, and we consider it successful because the numbers are below our voluntary standards. In addition, based on the Nitto Group Emergency Reporting Regulations and the Environmental Safety Site Management Regulations, we have established standards for reporting and responding in the event of, or when expecting, emergencies, accidents, or disasters related to environmental safety, and strive to minimize the impact on the environment.

Row 2

(2.5.1.1) Water pollutant category

Select from:

☒ Phosphates

(2.5.1.2) Description of water pollutant and potential impacts

The Nitto Group identifies and manages substances including phosphate designated by the Water Pollution Prevention Act, as water pollutants that may have a harmful effect on water ecosystems. We believe that the discharge of these substances may have harmful effects on water ecosystems around our business sites, such as eutrophication. Therefore, we have established voluntary standards that are stricter than the law to thoroughly control the water quality of wastewater.

(2.5.1.3) Value chain stage

Select all that apply

☒ Direct operations

(2.5.1.4) Actions and procedures to minimize adverse impacts

Select all that apply

- ☒ Assessment of critical infrastructure and storage condition (leakages, spillages, pipe erosion etc.) and their resilience
- ☒ Improving wastewater treatment processes/capacity
- ☒ Industrial and chemical accidents prevention, preparedness, and response
- ☒ Provision of best practice instructions on product use
- ☒ Other, please specify :Compliance that exceeds regulatory requirements

(2.5.1.5) Indicators for measuring and evaluating the success of the procedure

Select all that apply

- ☒ Compliance with discharge permits / regulatory limits

(2.5.1.6) Please explain

The Nitto Group has established voluntary standards at each of its manufacturing sites that are stricter than legal requirements to thoroughly control the quality of wastewater. One example of a stricter standard is the Toyohashi Plant's standard of 6.4 mg/L for total phosphorus concentration, compared to the Water Pollution Prevention Act regulation value of 16 mg/L. This is an indicator of success, and we consider it successful because the numbers are below our voluntary standards. In addition, based on the Nitto Group Emergency Reporting Regulations and the Environmental Safety Site Management Regulations, we have established standards for reporting and responding in the event of, or when expecting, emergencies, accidents, or disasters related to environmental safety, and strive to minimize the impact on the environment.

[Add row]

C3. Disclosure of risks and opportunities

(3.1) Have you identified any environmental risks which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

Climate change

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ Yes, both in direct operations and upstream/downstream value chain

Water

(3.1.1) Environmental risks identified

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.2) Primary reason why your organization does not consider itself to have environmental risks in your direct operations and/or upstream/downstream value chain

Select from:

☒ Environmental risks exist, but none with the potential to have a substantive effect on our organization

(3.1.3) Please explain

Regarding the risks and opportunities assumed to arise from the identified dependencies and impacts, we assess and identify their relative importance by understanding how changes in the internal and external environment will affect our company, assessing the 'degree of impact' on business if such events occur, and the 'likelihood of occurrence', while also determining the priority of these risks and opportunities. Additionally, when identifying risks and opportunities, we utilize scenario analysis to understand those related to the environment, including nature, and assess their potential financial impacts. As a result, there were no risks identified that are considered likely to have a significant impact on the Nitto Group in the future.

[Fixed row]

(3.1.1) Provide details of the environmental risks identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk1

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

☒ China

☒ India

☒ Japan

☒ Brazil

☒ Mexico

☒ Malaysia

☒ Thailand

☒ Belgium

☒ Czechia

☒ Germany

☒ Hungary

☒ Türkiye

☒ Taiwan, China

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

- ☒ Viet Nam
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ Philippines

- ☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

An increase in taxation costs (operating costs) due to the increased introduction of carbon taxes and carbon fee.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Change in revenue mix and sources

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

- ☒ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Under the 1.5°C scenario, the major factors of profit decline are increase in taxation costs (operating costs) due to the increased introduction of carbon taxes and carbon fee.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

2000000000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

13400000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The Nitto Group identifies the risks and opportunities in the short term (less than three years), medium term (three to six years), and long term (six years or more) under the business environment. The short-term and medium-term risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize. Although this risk occurs annually, we calculated the financial impact after 8 years based on the year 2022. The business impacts in the long term are as follows. Under the 1.5°C scenario, it is expected that low-carbon regulations will be tightened, and that carbon taxes and carbon fee will be introduced more widely in both developed and developing countries, resulting in an increase in operating costs due to higher tax levies and an estimated impact of up to 13.4 billion yen on profits. The 13.4 billion yen is the sum of projected CO2 emissions in developed countries for 2030 multiplied by carbon taxes in developed countries and CO2 emissions in developing countries multiplied by carbon taxes in developing countries, converted to yen. (CO2 emissions in developed countries (projected for 2030) x carbon taxes in developed countries (140 USD)) + (CO2 emissions in developing countries (projected for 2030) x carbon taxes in developing countries (90 USD)) x yen conversion. Conversely, under the 4°C scenario, since it is assumed that decarbonization measures will not be strengthened and the introduction of carbon taxes and carbon fee will be limited to a few countries, the increase in operating costs due to higher tax levies is expected to result in only 2 billion yen impact on profits. The 2 billion yen is the sum of CO2 emissions in Europe multiplied by European carbon taxes, CO2 emissions in China multiplied by Chinese carbon taxes, and CO2 emissions in Korea multiplied by Korean carbon taxes, converted to yen, under the assumption that only countries with policies currently in place will adopt carbon pricing. (European CO2 emissions (projected for 2030) x European carbon taxes (120 USD)) + (Chinese CO2 emissions (projected for 2030) x Chinese carbon taxes (28 USD)) + (Korean CO2 emissions (projected for 2030) x Korean carbon taxes (42 USD)) x yen conversion

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Establish organization-wide targets

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

48800000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The Nitto Group envisions 80 billion yen in decarbonization investments for the period 2021–2030. We have already completed 31.2 billion yen in investments for the period 2021–2025, and plan to invest 48.8 billion yen for the period 2026–2030. This 48.8 billion yen represents the cost of risk response, and consists of renewable energy, energy conservation, shifting away from the use of solvents, and improving the efficiency of infrastructure and utilities.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

We will invest 48.8 billion yen to reduce CO2 emissions by promoting renewable energy procurement, renewable energy facilities, energy conservation, solvent-free manufacturing, and higher efficiency in infrastructure and utilities.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk2

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Acute physical

☒ Flooding (coastal, fluvial, pluvial, groundwater)

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Direct operations

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ China
- ☒ India
- ☒ Japan
- ☒ Brazil
- ☒ Mexico
- ☒ Malaysia
- ☒ Thailand
- ☒ Viet Nam
- ☒ Indonesia
- ☒ Philippines

- ☒ Belgium
- ☒ Czechia
- ☒ Germany
- ☒ Hungary
- ☒ Türkiye
- ☒ Taiwan, China
- ☒ Korea (The Republic of)
- ☒ United States of America

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

Damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, etc., plant shutdowns, and lost opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to a flood, high tide, etc.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Decreased revenues due to reduced production capacity

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Very unlikely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Medium

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Under the 4°C scenario, damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, etc., plant shutdowns, and lost opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to a flood, high tide, etc. are the main factors contributing to a decline in profits.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.1.1.23) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – minimum (currency)

3400000000

(3.1.1.24) Anticipated financial effect figure in the long-term – maximum (currency)

3900000000

(3.1.1.25) Explanation of financial effect figure

The Nitto Group identifies the risks and opportunities in the short term (less than three years), medium term (three to six years), and long term (six years or more) under the business environment. The short-term and medium-term risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize. Although this risk occurs annually, we calculated the financial impact after 8 years based on the year 2022. The business impacts in the long term are as follows. Under the 4°C scenario, damage to buildings, facilities, and infrastructure at our factories, factory shutdowns, and loss of opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to floods, storm surges, and other events are expected to occur. For domestic sites, sites with risks were identified using current hazard maps. For overseas sites, sites with risks were identified using Aqueduct and hazard maps. The financial impact was calculated using the book value of buildings, depreciable assets, and inventories and daily profit at the identified sites, taking into account business growth rates and future flood occurrence frequency, and multiplying by the probability of disaster occurrence. The calculation method under the 1.5°C scenario is the same as that under the 4°C scenario. However, because the probability of disaster occurrence is lower under the 1.5°C scenario, damage to buildings, facilities, and infrastructure at our factories, factory shutdowns, and loss of opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to floods, storm surges, and other events are expected to be smaller.

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Policies and plans

☒ Develop flood emergency plans

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

0

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The Nitto Group is promoting business continuity management (BCM) at each business location and implements disaster prevention measures covering not only floods but also all natural disasters, including earthquakes. Therefore, costs specific to flood countermeasures are not separately accounted for, and zero is reported in the risk response cost field.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

The Nitto Group promotes business continuity management (BCM) at each business location and implements disaster prevention measures covering not only floods but also all natural disasters, including earthquakes.

Climate change

(3.1.1.1) Risk identifier

Select from:

☒ Risk3

(3.1.1.3) Risk types and primary environmental risk driver

Policy

☒ Carbon pricing mechanisms

(3.1.1.4) Value chain stage where the risk occurs

Select from:

☒ Upstream value chain

(3.1.1.6) Country/area where the risk occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ China | ☒ Belgium |
| ☒ India | ☒ Czechia |
| ☒ Japan | ☒ Germany |
| ☒ Brazil | ☒ Hungary |
| ☒ Mexico | ☒ Türkiye |
| ☒ Malaysia | ☒ Taiwan, China |
| ☒ Thailand | ☒ Korea (The Republic of) |
| ☒ Viet Nam | ☒ United States of America |
| ☒ Indonesia | |
| ☒ Philippines | |

(3.1.1.9) Organization-specific description of risk

An increase in petroleum-derived raw material costs due to carbon taxes and other taxes in the upstream of the value chain passed on to raw materials.

(3.1.1.11) Primary financial effect of the risk

Select from:

- ☒ Change in revenue mix and sources

(3.1.1.12) Time horizon over which the risk is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

- ☒ Long-term

(3.1.1.13) Likelihood of the risk having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

- ☒ Very likely

(3.1.1.14) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ High

(3.1.1.16) Anticipated effect of the risk on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

Under the 1.5°C scenario, an increase in petroleum-derived raw material costs due to carbon taxes and other taxes in the upstream of the value chain being passed on to raw material prices is expected to be one of the main causes of profit deterioration.

(3.1.1.17) Are you able to quantify the financial effect of the risk?

Select from:

☒ No

(3.1.1.26) Primary response to risk

Compliance, monitoring and targets

☒ Improve monitoring of upstream and downstream activities

(3.1.1.27) Cost of response to risk

100000000

(3.1.1.28) Explanation of cost calculation

The Nitto Group has been advancing the development of plastics recycling technologies. In fiscal 2025, we invested approximately 100 million yen to install demonstration facilities at the Toyohashi Plant and have been advancing efforts to address further technical challenges.

(3.1.1.29) Description of response

To minimize our risk, the Nitto group promotes the development of recycled materials in cooperation with our suppliers and reduces the usage of raw materials through the effective procurement of sustainable materials and effective utilization of resources. For example, we invested approximately 100 million yen to install demonstration facilities at the Toyohashi Plant. Through these initiatives, GHG emissions can be reduced.

[Add row]

(3.1.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics from the reporting year that are vulnerable to the substantive effects of environmental risks.

Climate change

(3.1.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Revenue

(3.1.2.2) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

232000000

(3.1.2.3) % of total financial metric vulnerable to transition risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.4) Amount of financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

0

(3.1.2.5) % of total financial metric vulnerable to physical risks for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.1.2.7) Explanation of financial figures

Currently, the impact from the EU ETS amounts to 232 million yen.

[Add row]

(3.3) In the reporting year, was your organization subject to any fines, enforcement orders, and/or other penalties for water-related regulatory violations?

	Water-related regulatory violations	Comment
	Select from: ☒ No	There were no regulatory violations during the reporting year.

[Fixed row]

(3.5) Are any of your operations or activities regulated by a carbon pricing system (i.e. ETS, Cap & Trade or Carbon Tax)?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.5.1) Select the carbon pricing regulation(s) which impact your operations.

Select all that apply

☒ China national ETS

☒ EU ETS

☒ Saitama ETS

(3.5.2) Provide details of each Emissions Trading Scheme (ETS) your organization is regulated by.

China national ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

9.2

(3.5.2.2) Scope 2 emissions approach

Select from:

☒ Location-based

(3.5.2.3) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

16

(3.5.2.4) Period start date

01/01/2025

(3.5.2.5) Period end date

12/31/2025

(3.5.2.6) Allowances allocated

91166

(3.5.2.7) Allowances purchased

209

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

25072

(3.5.2.9) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

64703

(3.5.2.10) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.11) Comment

Scope 1 emissions totaled 25,072 tons and Scope 2 emissions totaled 64,703 tons. The allowance is 91,666 tons, with 209 tons purchased.

EU ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

6.5

(3.5.2.2) Scope 2 emissions approach

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(3.5.2.3) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.4) Period start date

01/01/2025

(3.5.2.5) Period end date

12/31/2025

(3.5.2.6) Allowances allocated

1570

(3.5.2.7) Allowances purchased

16101

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

17671

(3.5.2.9) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

0

(3.5.2.10) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.11) Comment

Scope 1 is 17,671 tons and Scope 2 is 0 tons. The allowance is 1,570 tons, with 16,101 tons purchased.

Saitama ETS

(3.5.2.1) % of Scope 1 emissions covered by the ETS

1.82

(3.5.2.2) Scope 2 emissions approach

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(3.5.2.3) % of Scope 2 emissions covered by the ETS

0

(3.5.2.4) Period start date

04/01/2025

(3.5.2.5) Period end date

03/31/2026

(3.5.2.6) Allowances allocated

21296

(3.5.2.7) Allowances purchased

0

(3.5.2.8) Verified Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e

4397

(3.5.2.9) Verified Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e

0

(3.5.2.10) Details of ownership

Select from:

☒ Facilities we own and operate

(3.5.2.11) Comment

Scope 1 is 4,937 tons and Scope 2 is 0 tons. The allocated amount is 21,296 tons, and the emissions are below the allocated amount.
[Fixed row]

(3.5.4) What is your strategy for complying with the systems you are regulated by or anticipate being regulated by?

During the reporting year, Nitto was subject to emissions trading schemes (ETS), including the EU ETS, the Saitama ETS, and China's national ETS. To comply with these regulatory frameworks, we implemented a range of emissions reduction measures in the applicable regions. For Scope 1 emissions, our efforts focused on

improving the energy efficiency of production equipment and facilities. For Scope 2 emissions, we actively expanded the use of renewable electricity where available and feasible. As a result of these initiatives, Scope 2 emissions at sites covered by the EU ETS and Saitama ETS were zero during the reporting year. Going forward, we will continue to pursue further emissions reductions through fuel switching, the electrification of facilities and processes, and the development and deployment of carbon removal technologies.

(3.6) Have you identified any environmental opportunities which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future?

	Environmental opportunities identified
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes, we have identified opportunities, and some/all are being realized

[Fixed row]

(3.6.1) Provide details of the environmental opportunities identified which have had a substantive effect on your organization in the reporting year, or are anticipated to have a substantive effect on your organization in the future.

Climate change

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:
☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

- ☒ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ China | ☒ Belgium |
| ☒ India | ☒ Czechia |
| ☒ Japan | ☒ Germany |
| ☒ Brazil | ☒ Hungary |
| ☒ Mexico | ☒ Türkiye |
| ☒ Malaysia | ☒ Taiwan, China |
| ☒ Thailand | ☒ Korea (The Republic of) |
| ☒ Viet Nam | ☒ United States of America |
| ☒ Indonesia | |
| ☒ Philippines | |

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Placing ESG at the core of our management, the Nitto Group secures an “essential” position for all of its products, with the assumption that they all have something to offer to the global environment and human society. We showcase the positive contributions that our products and services make for the planet and recognize those with a particularly high level of contributions by attaching “PlanetFlags™”. Products certified under this system are manufactured at each production site and sold in various countries and regions.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

- ☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ High

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the 1.5°C scenario, we are working to expand our range of products contribute to the environment (PlanetFlags™ certified products) to maximize opportunities, and we anticipate increased sales due to rising demand for low-carbon products such as recycled products.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

26500000000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

26500000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The Nitto Group identifies the risks and opportunities in the short term (less than three years), medium term (three to five years), and long term (five years or more) under the business environment. The short-term and medium-term risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize. The business impacts in the long term are as follows. With regard to the sales profit of 11.70 billion yen in the base year 2025, the sales profit of products which contribute to the environment is expected to increase by 14.80 billion yen in 2026 (short-term). Therefore, the sales profit in 2026 will be 26.50 billion yen. Products which contribute to environment that contribute to reducing CO2 emissions over their lifecycle include items such as biomass adhesive tape and low-VOC double-sided tape.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

10830000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The Nitto Group visualizes the environmental contributions, including those related to climate change and water, of the products and services it produces, and certifies those with particularly high levels of contribution as PlanetFlags™ products. The Nitto Group is prioritizing the allocation of development resources to themes expected to be certified under PlanetFlags™ and HumanFlags, and anticipates research and development expenses of 50 billion yen in fiscal 2026. Of this, the development cost for PlanetFlags™ related to climate change is 10.83 billion yen, which represents the expense required to realize these opportunities.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

The Nitto Group visualizes the environmental contributions of the products and services it produces, and certifies those with particularly high levels of contribution as PlanetFlags™ products. By allocating our R&D resources preferentially to products that are expected to receive recognition as PlanetFlags™, we will advance our efforts to simultaneously solve social issues and create economic value through business.

Water

(3.6.1.1) Opportunity identifier

Select from:

☒ Opp1

(3.6.1.3) Opportunity type and primary environmental opportunity driver

Products and services

☒ Development of new products or services through R&D and innovation

(3.6.1.4) Value chain stage where the opportunity occurs

Select from:

- ☒ Direct operations

(3.6.1.5) Country/area where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|--|
| ☒ China | ☒ Belgium |
| ☒ India | ☒ Czechia |
| ☒ Japan | ☒ Germany |
| ☒ Brazil | ☒ Hungary |
| ☒ Mexico | ☒ Türkiye |
| ☒ Malaysia | ☒ Taiwan, China |
| ☒ Thailand | ☒ Korea (The Republic of) |
| ☒ Viet Nam | ☒ United States of America |
| ☒ Indonesia | |
| ☒ Philippines | |

(3.6.1.6) River basin where the opportunity occurs

Select all that apply

- ☒ Yodo

(3.6.1.8) Organization specific description

Placing ESG at the core of our management, the Nitto Group secures an “essential” position for all of its products, with the assumption that they all have something to offer to the global environment and human society. We showcase the positive contributions that our products and services make for the planet and recognize those with a particularly high level of contributions by attaching “PlanetFlags™”. Products certified under this system are manufactured at each production site and sold in various countries and regions.

(3.6.1.9) Primary financial effect of the opportunity

Select from:

☒ Increased revenues resulting from increased demand for products and services

(3.6.1.10) Time horizon over which the opportunity is anticipated to have a substantive effect on the organization

Select all that apply

☒ Short-term

(3.6.1.11) Likelihood of the opportunity having an effect within the anticipated time horizon

Select from:

☒ Very likely (90–100%)

(3.6.1.12) Magnitude

Select from:

☒ Low

(3.6.1.14) Anticipated effect of the opportunity on the financial position, financial performance and cash flows of the organization in the selected future time horizons

In the 1.5°C scenario, we are working to expand our range of products contribute to the environment (PlanetFlags™ certified products) to maximize opportunities, and we anticipate increased sales due to rising demand for water-related products.

(3.6.1.15) Are you able to quantify the financial effects of the opportunity?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(3.6.1.17) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term - minimum (currency)

2720000000

(3.6.1.18) Anticipated financial effect figure in the short-term – maximum (currency)

2720000000

(3.6.1.23) Explanation of financial effect figures

The Nitto Group identifies the risks and opportunities in the short term (less than three years), medium term (three to five years), and long term (five years or more) under the business environment. The short-term and medium-term risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize. The business impacts in the long term is as follows. With regard to the sales profit of 2.03 billion yen in the base year 2025, the sales profit of products which contribute to the environment is expected to increase by 0.69 billion yen in 2026 (short-term). Therefore, the sales profit in 2026 will be 2.72 billion yen. Products which contribute to environment that help reduce wastewater include RO membranes for zero liquid discharge (ZLD) applications and energy-saving RO membranes for wastewater treatment.

(3.6.1.24) Cost to realize opportunity

840000000

(3.6.1.25) Explanation of cost calculation

The Nitto Group visualizes the environmental contributions, including those related to climate change and water, of the products and services it produces, and certifies those with particularly high levels of contribution as PlanetFlags™ products. The Nitto Group is prioritizing the allocation of development resources to themes expected to be certified under PlanetFlags™ and HumanFlags, and anticipates research and development expenses of 50 billion yen in fiscal 2026. Of this, the development cost for PlanetFlags™ related to water is 0.84 billion yen, which represents the expense required to realize these opportunities.

(3.6.1.26) Strategy to realize opportunity

The Nitto Group visualizes the environmental contributions of the products and services it produces, and certifies those with particularly high levels of contribution as PlanetFlags™ products. By allocating our R&D resources preferentially to products that are expected to receive recognition as PlanetFlags™, we will advance our efforts to simultaneously solve social issues and create economic value through business.

[Add row]

(3.6.2) Provide the amount and proportion of your financial metrics in the reporting year that are aligned with the substantive effects of environmental opportunities.

Climate change

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

11700000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ 1-10%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Our revenue in 2025 was 1,028.2 billion yen. Of that amount, revenue of products that contribute to the environment related to climate change in the reporting year was 11.7 billion yen, approximately 1%.

Water

(3.6.2.1) Financial metric

Select from:

☒ Revenue

(3.6.2.2) Amount of financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue (unit currency as selected in 1.2)

2030000000

(3.6.2.3) % of total financial metric aligned with opportunities for this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(3.6.2.4) Explanation of financial figures

Our revenue in 2025 was 1,028.2 billion yen. Of that amount, revenue of products that contribute to the environment related to water in the reporting year was 2.03 billion yen, less than 1%.

[Add row]

C4. Governance

(4.1) Does your organization have a board of directors or an equivalent governing body?

(4.1.1) Board of directors or equivalent governing body

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2) Frequency with which the board or equivalent meets

Select from:

☒ More frequently than quarterly

(4.1.3) Types of directors your board or equivalent is comprised of

Select all that apply

☒ Executive directors or equivalent

☒ Non-executive directors or equivalent

☒ Independent non-executive directors or equivalent

(4.1.4) Board diversity and inclusion policy

Select from:

☒ Yes, and it is publicly available

(4.1.5) Briefly describe what the policy covers

The following is an overview of diversity of the Board of Directors and the Board of Corporate Auditors to consolidate multifaceted opinions. Nitto appoints members of the Board of Directors and the Board of Corporate Auditors who can practice The Nitto Way, a set of values that expresses what the Nitto Group should cherish and its standards for judgment, after comprehensively considering diversity, including the balance of expertise and other areas that should be represented on the boards (such as skills, areas of expertise, and length of tenure), as well as gender, age, work experience, race, ethnicity, and cultural background. In addition, half of the members of the Board of Directors are independent outside directors.

(4.1.6) Attach the policy (optional)

FY26_CDP 用_cgreport (eng).pdf

[Fixed row]

(4.1.1) Is there board-level oversight of environmental issues within your organization?

	Board-level oversight of this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.1.2) Identify the positions (do not include any names) of the individuals or committees on the board with accountability for environmental issues and provide details of the board's oversight of environmental issues.

Climate change

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance structure regarding ESG in CG report

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets | ☒ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis | ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities |
| ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets | ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives |
| ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets | ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures |
| ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments | ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy |
| ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes | |
| ☒ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan | |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy | |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures | |
| ☒ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements | |
| ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments | |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan | |
| ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities | |

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board of Directors makes decisions on important matters, for instance, management policies, management indicators and targets related to the environment including climate change (future-financial targets and indicators), such as the mid-term management plan and support for external initiatives. Each quarter, it provides periodic directions, and conducts supervision, concerning the climate change targets (future-financial targets and indicators) of the mid-term management plan as well as the status of progress toward target achievement, and, as necessary, adds new items to the agenda if a material matter arises.

Water

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance structure regarding ESG in CG report

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets | ☒ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis | ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities |
| ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets | ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives |
| ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets | ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures |

- ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures
- ☒ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements
- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan
- ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board of Directors makes decisions on important matters, for instance, management policies, management indicators and targets related to the environment including nature (future-financial targets and indicators), such as the mid-term management plan and support for external initiatives. Each quarter, it provides periodic directions and conducts supervision concerning targets related to environment (future-financial targets and indicators) in the mid-term management plan as well as the status of progress toward target achievement, and, as necessary, adds new items to the agenda if a material matter arises.

Biodiversity

(4.1.2.1) Positions of individuals or committees with accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.1.2.2) Positions' accountability for this environmental issue is outlined in policies applicable to the board

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.1.2.3) Policies which outline the positions' accountability for this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ Other policy applicable to the board, please specify :Governance structure regarding ESG in CG report

(4.1.2.4) Frequency with which this environmental issue is a scheduled agenda item

Select from:

- ☒ Scheduled agenda item in some board meetings – at least annually

(4.1.2.5) Governance mechanisms into which this environmental issue is integrated

Select all that apply

- | | |
|--|--|
| ☒ Reviewing and guiding annual budgets | ☒ Overseeing and guiding public policy engagement |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding scenario analysis | ☒ Reviewing and guiding innovation/R&D priorities |
| ☒ Overseeing the setting of corporate targets | ☒ Approving and/or overseeing employee incentives |
| ☒ Monitoring progress towards corporate targets | ☒ Overseeing and guiding major capital expenditures |
| ☒ Approving corporate policies and/or commitments | ☒ Monitoring the implementation of the business strategy |
| ☒ Overseeing reporting, audit, and verification processes | |
| ☒ Monitoring the implementation of a climate transition plan | |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a business strategy | |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding acquisitions, mergers, and divestitures | |
| ☒ Monitoring supplier compliance with organizational requirements | |
| ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate policies and/or commitments | |
| ☒ Overseeing and guiding the development of a climate transition plan | |
| ☒ Reviewing and guiding the assessment process for dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities | |

(4.1.2.7) Please explain

The Board of Directors makes decisions on important matters, for instance, management policies, management indicators and targets related to the environment including nature (future-financial targets and indicators), such as the mid-term management plan and support for external initiatives. Each quarter, it provides periodic directions and conducts supervision concerning targets related to environment (future-financial targets and indicators) in the mid-term management plan as well as the status of progress toward target achievement, and, as necessary, adds new items to the agenda if a material matter arises.

[Fixed row]

(4.2) Does your organization's board have competency on environmental issues?

Climate change

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)
- ☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

- ☒ Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

Water

(4.2.1) Board-level competency on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.2.2) Mechanisms to maintain an environmentally competent board

Select all that apply

- ☒ Consulting regularly with an internal, permanent, subject-expert working group
- ☒ Integrating knowledge of environmental issues into board nominating process
- ☒ Regular training for directors on environmental issues, industry best practice, and standards (e.g., TCFD, SBTi)

☒ Having at least one board member with expertise on this environmental issue

(4.2.3) Environmental expertise of the board member

Experience

☒ Experience in an organization that is exposed to environmental-scrutiny and is going through a sustainability transition

[Fixed row]

(4.3) Is there management-level responsibility for environmental issues within your organization?

	Management-level responsibility for this environmental issue
Climate change	Select from: ☒ Yes
Water	Select from: ☒ Yes
Biodiversity	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.3.1) Provide the highest senior management-level positions or committees with responsibility for environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental science-based targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental targets

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a climate transition plan
- ☒ Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Corporate Strategy Meeting, chaired by the President & COO, is responsible for deliberation and decision-making regarding specific policies and measures for action based on environment including climate change/water/biodiversity management policies and indicators, as well as managing risks and opportunities and monitoring initiative progress on a monthly basis. It provides periodic reports on a quarterly basis to the Board of Directors about the content of its deliberations and decisions and the progress of initiatives, and, as necessary, provides additional reports if a material matter arises. Additionally, to ensure that the matters deliberated and decided are promptly disseminated throughout the company, the Corporate Strategy Meeting comprises all Vice Presidents who are in charge of business execution departments, special function departments, and regional management.

Water

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets

☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

Strategy and financial planning

☒ Developing a climate transition plan

☒ Conducting environmental scenario analysis

☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues

- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Corporate Strategy Meeting, chaired by the President & COO, is responsible for deliberation and decision-making regarding specific policies and measures for action based on environment including climate change/water/biodiversity management policies and indicators, as well as managing risks and opportunities and monitoring initiative progress on a monthly basis. It provides periodic reports on a quarterly basis to the Board of Directors about the content of its deliberations and decisions and the progress of initiatives, and, as necessary, provides additional reports if a material matter arises. Additionally, to ensure that the matters deliberated and decided are promptly disseminated throughout the company, the Corporate Strategy Meeting comprises all Vice Presidents who are in charge of business execution departments, special function departments, and regional management.

Biodiversity

(4.3.1.1) Position of individual or committee with responsibility

Executive level

- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.3.1.2) Environmental responsibilities of this position

Dependencies, impacts, risks and opportunities

- ☒ Assessing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities
- ☒ Managing environmental dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

Policies, commitments, and targets

- ☒ Monitoring compliance with corporate environmental policies and/or commitments
- ☒ Measuring progress towards environmental corporate targets
- ☒ Setting corporate environmental policies and/or commitments

Strategy and financial planning

- ☒ Developing a climate transition plan
- ☒ Conducting environmental scenario analysis
- ☒ Managing annual budgets related to environmental issues
- ☒ Implementing the business strategy related to environmental issues
- ☒ Developing a business strategy which considers environmental issues
- ☒ Managing priorities related to innovation/low-environmental impact products or services (including R&D)

(4.3.1.4) Reporting line

Select from:

- ☒ Reports to the board directly

(4.3.1.5) Frequency of reporting to the board on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Quarterly

(4.3.1.6) Please explain

The Corporate Strategy Meeting, chaired by the President & COO, is responsible for deliberation and decision-making regarding specific policies and measures for action based on environment including climate change/water/biodiversity management policies and indicators, as well as managing risks and opportunities and monitoring initiative progress on a monthly basis. It provides periodic reports on a quarterly basis to the Board of Directors about the content of its deliberations and decisions and the progress of initiatives, and, as necessary, provides additional reports if a material matter arises. Additionally, to ensure that the matters deliberated and decided are promptly disseminated throughout the company, the Corporate Strategy Meeting comprises all Vice Presidents who are in charge of business

execution departments, special function departments, and regional management.

[Add row]

(4.5) Do you provide monetary incentives for the management of environmental issues, including the attainment of targets?

Climate change

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.5.2) % of total C-suite and board-level monetary incentives linked to the management of this environmental issue

1.52

(4.5.3) Please explain

As a medium- to long-term incentive, we have introduced performance-linked restricted share remuneration, which is calculated based on performance and ESG initiative results during a specified evaluation period and the shares are released upon retirement with the restrictions lifted. This performance-linked restricted share remuneration is designed to vary within a range of 0% to 150% depending on the evaluation results, and the evaluation indicators incorporate not only financial metrics such as consolidated operating profit, operating profit margin, and consolidated ROE, but also ESG initiatives results, including responses to climate change. The evaluation ratio for ESG initiatives results is set at 30% of the total.

Water

(4.5.1) Provision of monetary incentives related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to introduce them in the next two years

(4.5.3) Please explain

If water-related future-financial targets are set, they will be subject to performance-linked restricted share remuneration, under a framework in which remuneration is

determined based on the degree of achievement of the future-financial targets.

[Fixed row]

(4.5.1) Provide further details on the monetary incentives provided for the management of environmental issues (do not include the names of individuals).

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Chief Executive Officer (CEO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Shares

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Achievement of environmental targets

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual multi-year bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

This performance-linked restricted share remuneration is designed to vary within a range of 0% to 150% depending on the evaluation results, and the evaluation indicators incorporate not only financial metrics such as consolidated operating profit, operating profit margin, and consolidated ROE, but also ESG initiatives results, including responses to climate change.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Among the future-financial targets, there is an item related to CO2 emissions, and the incentive is determined by the achievement of this item. Therefore, we believe that this incentive structure contributes to the achievement of our climate-related plans.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

☒ Director on board

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

☒ Shares

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

☒ Achievement of environmental targets

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

- ☒ Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual multi-year bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

This performance-linked restricted share remuneration is designed to vary within a range of 0% to 150% depending on the evaluation results, and the evaluation indicators incorporate not only financial metrics such as consolidated operating profit, operating profit margin, and consolidated ROE, but also ESG initiatives results, including responses to climate change.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Among the future-financial targets, there is an item related to CO2 emissions, and the incentive is determined by the achievement of this item. Therefore, we believe that this incentive structure contributes to the achievement of our climate-related plans.

Climate change

(4.5.1.1) Position entitled to monetary incentive

Board or executive level

- ☒ Chief Operating Officer (COO)

(4.5.1.2) Incentives

Select all that apply

- ☒ Shares

(4.5.1.3) Performance metrics

Targets

- ☒ Achievement of environmental targets
☒ Reduction in absolute emissions in line with net-zero target

Emission reduction

☒ Reduction in absolute emissions

(4.5.1.4) Incentive plan the incentives are linked to

Select from:

☒ Long-Term Incentive Plan, or equivalent, only (e.g. contractual multi-year bonus)

(4.5.1.5) Further details of incentives

This performance-linked restricted share remuneration is designed to vary within a range of 0% to 150% depending on the evaluation results, and the evaluation indicators incorporate not only financial metrics such as consolidated operating profit, operating profit margin, and consolidated ROE, but also ESG initiatives results, including responses to climate change.

(4.5.1.6) How the position's incentives contribute to the achievement of your environmental commitments and/or climate transition plan

Among the future-financial targets, there is an item related to CO2 emissions, and the incentive is determined by the achievement of this item. Therefore, we believe that this incentive structure contributes to the achievement of our climate-related plans.

[Add row]

(4.6) Does your organization have an environmental policy that addresses environmental issues?

	Does your organization have any environmental policies?
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(4.6.1) Provide details of your environmental policies.

Row 1

(4.6.1.1) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water
- ☒ Biodiversity

(4.6.1.2) Level of coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(4.6.1.3) Value chain stages covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Direct operations
- ☒ Upstream value chain
- ☒ Downstream value chain

(4.6.1.4) Explain the coverage

Nitto Group laid out in the Basic Policy on Environment its intention to aim at zero environmental impact by expanding the scope of its activities from within the Group to cover the entire supply chain and contribute to the realization of a sustainable society as it taps into its proprietary technologies and knowledge thus far accumulated.

(4.6.1.5) Environmental policy content

Environmental commitments

- ☒ Commitment to a circular economy strategy
- ☒ Commitment to respect legally designated protected areas

- ☒ Commitment to comply with regulations and mandatory standards
- ☒ Commitment to take environmental action beyond regulatory compliance
- ☒ Commitment to avoidance of negative impacts on threatened and protected species
- ☒ Commitment to stakeholder engagement and capacity building on environmental issues
- ☒ Commitment to implementation of nature-based solutions that support landscape restoration and long-term protection of natural ecosystems

Climate-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to 100% renewable energy
- ☒ Commitment to not invest in fossil-fuel expansion
- ☒ Commitment to not funding climate-denial or lobbying against climate regulations

Water-specific commitments

- ☒ Commitment to reduce or phase out hazardous substances
- ☒ Commitment to control/reduce/eliminate water pollution
- ☒ Commitment to reduce water withdrawal volumes
- ☒ Commitment to safely managed WASH in local communities

Additional references/Descriptions

- ☒ Description of renewable electricity procurement practices
- ☒ Reference to timebound environmental milestones and targets

(4.6.1.6) Indicate whether your environmental policy is in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select all that apply

- ☒ Yes, in line with the Paris Agreement
- ☒ Yes, in line with the Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework
- ☒ Yes, in line with Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.6.1.7) Public availability

Select from:

- ☒ Publicly available

(4.6.1.8) Attach the policy

FY26_CDP 用_NittoDenko_Environment Basic Policy.pdf

[Add row]

(4.10) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

(4.10.1) Are you a signatory or member of any environmental collaborative frameworks or initiatives?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.10.2) Collaborative framework or initiative

Select all that apply

- ☒ RE100
- ☒ UN Global Compact
- ☒ Japan Climate Leaders' Partnership (JCLP)
- ☒ Science-Based Targets Initiative (SBTi)
- ☒ Task Force on Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD)
- ☒ Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD)

(4.10.3) Describe your organization's role within each framework or initiative

1) TCFD Recognizing that responding to climate change is an important management issue, the Nitto Group has strategically included such responses in its management agenda and announced its support for the recommendations by the Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD) in May 2022. After announcing our support, every year, we assess the impact that climate-change-related risks and opportunities may have on our business and make revisions as necessary. 2) UN Global Compact In February 2020, we became a signatory to the United Nations Global Compact in support of its Ten Principles on human rights, labour, environment, and anti-corruption. In compliance with these universal principles, we will engage in manufacturing in a responsible manner to realize a sustainable society. 3) RE100 In May 2024, we became a member of RE100 (Renewable Energy 100%), a global corporate renewable energy initiative committed to 100% renewable electricity. Accordingly, we will aim at 100% renewable energy on a global basis by 2035. 4) SBT In August 2024, we were certified by the Science Based Targets initiative (SBTi) to further manage and reduce CO2 emissions across our supply chains. With this, we have newly set a target of 400,000 tons of emissions for 2030 and will accelerate our efforts toward the realization of a decarbonized society. 5) TNFD The Nitto Group considers its response to nature-related issues as a critical management issue. Every year, we assess and review the impact on our business from our dependencies on and impacts on nature, as well as the associated risks and opportunities, and make revisions accordingly. In August 2025, we announced our support for the recommendations of the Taskforce on Nature-related Financial Disclosures (TNFD) and registered as a TNFD Adopter. Every year, we assess and review the impact on our business from our

dependencies on and impacts on nature, as well as the associated risks and opportunities, and make revisions accordingly. 6)JCLP Nitto Denko is an Associate Member of the Japan Climate Leaders' Partnership (JCLP). We regularly participate in JCLP meetings, seminars, and information-sharing activities to stay informed of the latest trends, policy developments, and best practices related to climate change and decarbonization, and reflect these insights in our climate-related initiatives and strategy.

[Fixed row]

(4.11) In the reporting year, did your organization engage in activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment?

(4.11.1) External engagement activities that could directly or indirectly influence policy, law, or regulation that may impact the environment

Yes

☒ Yes, we engaged indirectly through, and/or provided financial or in-kind support to a trade association or other intermediary organization or individual whose activities could influence policy, law, or regulation

(4.11.2) Indicate whether your organization has a public commitment or position statement to conduct your engagement activities in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have a public commitment or position statement in line with global environmental treaties or policy goals

(4.11.3) Global environmental treaties or policy goals in line with public commitment or position statement

Select all that apply

☒ Paris Agreement

☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

(4.11.4) Attach commitment or position statement

FY26_CDP(4.11)用_経団連企業会員一覧.pdf

(4.11.5) Indicate whether your organization is registered on a transparency register

Select from:

☒ It is not possible or relevant for my organization or the intermediary organization to be registered

(4.11.8) Describe the process your organization has in place to ensure that your external engagement activities are consistent with your environmental commitments and/or transition plan

We consider the position statements of trade associations when we set a climate change strategy. Our position on climate change issues is consistent with theirs. If their directions are not consistent with ours, we will discuss with them to reach an agreement.

[Fixed row]

(4.11.2) Provide details of your indirect engagement on policy, law, or regulation that may (positively or negatively) impact the environment through trade associations or other intermediary organizations or individuals in the reporting year.

Row 1

(4.11.2.1) Type of indirect engagement

Select from:

☒ Indirect engagement via a trade association

(4.11.2.4) Trade association

Asia and Pacific

☒ Japan Business Federation (Keidanren)

(4.11.2.5) Environmental issues relevant to the policies, laws, or regulations on which the organization or individual has taken a position

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.11.2.6) Indicate whether your organization's position is consistent with the organization or individual you engage with

Select from:

☒ Consistent

(4.11.2.7) Indicate whether your organization attempted to influence the organization or individual's position in the reporting year

Select from:

☒ No, we did not attempt to influence their position

(4.11.2.8) Describe how your organization's position is consistent with or differs from the organization or individual's position, and any actions taken to influence their position

Through the Japan Business Federation (Keidanren), the Nitto Group aims to harness the vitality of companies and the individuals and communities that support them, thereby contributing to the self-sustaining development of Japan's economy and improvements in people's lives. It is envisioned that it will be able to conduct surveys and research on various issues in such fields as the economy, industry, society, environment, science and technology, labor and management, and propose policies and work for their realization by making extensive use of the knowledge and experience of the business community.

(4.11.2.9) Funding figure your organization provided to this organization or individual in the reporting year (currency)

0

(4.11.2.11) Indicate if you have evaluated whether your organization's engagement is aligned with global environmental treaties or policy goals

Select from:

☒ Yes, we have evaluated, and it is aligned

(4.11.2.12) Global environmental treaties or policy goals aligned with your organization's engagement on policy, law or regulation

Select all that apply

- ☒ Paris Agreement
- ☒ Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework
- ☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6 on Clean Water and Sanitation

[Add row]

(4.12) Have you published information about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.12.1) Provide details on the information published about your organization's response to environmental issues for this reporting year in places other than your CDP response. Please attach the publication.

Row 1

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

- ☒ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(4.12.1.3) Standard or framework the publication is in line with

Select all that apply

- ☒ TCFD

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Strategy

☒ Greenhouse gas emissions figures

☒ Governance

☒ Risks & Opportunities

☒ Dependencies & Impacts

☒ Climate-related targets

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

P.28-P.31

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

FY26_CDP 用_Annual Securities Report (eng).pdf

(4.12.1.9) Comment

Attached the Securities Report (English version): <https://pdf.irpocket.com/C6988/WvLb/RDOy/SeYH.pdf>

Row 2

(4.12.1.1) Publication

Select from:

☒ In mainstream reports

(4.12.1.2) Is your publication in line with a standard or framework?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(4.12.1.3) Standard or framework the publication is in line with

Select all that apply

☒ TNFD

(4.12.1.4) Environmental issues covered in publication

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

☒ Water

(4.12.1.5) Status of the publication

Select from:

☒ Complete

(4.12.1.6) Content elements

Select all that apply

☒ Biodiversity indicators

☒ Water-related targets

(4.12.1.7) Page/section reference

P.24

(4.12.1.8) Attach the relevant publication

(4.12.1.9) Comment

Attached the Securities Report (English version): <https://pdf.irpocket.com/C6988/WvLb/RDOy/SeYH.pdf>
[Add row]

C5. Business strategy

(5.1) Does your organization use scenario analysis to identify environmental outcomes?

Climate change

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

Water

(5.1.1) Use of scenario analysis

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.1.2) Frequency of analysis

Select from:

☒ Annually

[Fixed row]

(5.1.1) Provide details of the scenarios used in your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Climate transition scenarios

☒ IEA NZE 2050

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Policy

☒ Market

☒ Reputation

☒ Technology

☒ Liability

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2025
- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Impact of nature footprint on reputation

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Global regulation
- ☒ Methodologies and expectations for science-based targets

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

It is expected that tighter environmental regulations will lead to the realization of a decarbonized society. Specifically, we believe that strengthening of low-carbon regulations will occur in relation to the elimination of high-GHG emitting products, the widespread adoption of carbon taxes and GHG emission levies in both developed and developing countries, and the shift to renewable energy sources. We also anticipate a shift to a low-carbon society due to increased sales of low-carbon products and other factors, increasing severity of extreme weather events and natural disasters, such as floods and typhoons.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We forecast the impact that changes in the business environment caused by climate change may have on our businesses and management, and then create scenarios based on this. We consider the “1.5°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature does not exceed that of preindustrial times by more than 1.5°C by 2050, and the “4°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature is likely to exceed that of preindustrial times by 3.2 to 5.4°C by 2050.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

☒ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2025
- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Changes to the state of nature
- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We anticipate a shift to a low-carbon society due to increased sales of low-carbon products and other factors, increasing severity of extreme weather events and natural disasters, such as floods and typhoons.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We forecast the impact that changes in the business environment caused by climate change may have on our businesses and management, and then create scenarios based on this. We consider the “1.5°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature does not exceed that of preindustrial times by more than 1.5°C by 2050, and the “4°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature is likely to exceed that of preindustrial times by 3.2 to 5.4°C by 2050.

Climate change

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

- ☒ RCP 8.5

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

☒ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

☒ Acute physical

☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

☒ 4.0°C and above

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

☒ 2025

☒ 2030

☒ 2040

☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Changes to the state of nature
- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

It is expected that tighter environmental regulations will lead to the realization of a decarbonized society. Specifically, we believe that strengthening of low-carbon regulations will occur in relation to the elimination of high-GHG emitting products, the widespread adoption of carbon taxes and GHG emission levies in both developed and developing countries, and the shift to renewable energy sources. We also anticipate a shift to a low-carbon society due to increased sales of low-carbon products and other factors, increasing severity of extreme weather events and natural disasters, such as floods and typhoons.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We forecast the impact that changes in the business environment caused by climate change may have on our businesses and management, and then create scenarios based on this. We consider the “1.5°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature does not exceed that of preindustrial times by more than 1.5°C by 2050, and the “4°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature is likely to exceed that of preindustrial times by 3.2 to 5.4°C by 2050.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Physical climate scenarios

- ☒ RCP 2.6

(5.1.1.2) SSPs used in conjunction with scenario

Select from:

- ☒ No SSP used

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative and quantitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- ☒ Acute physical
- ☒ Chronic physical

(5.1.1.6) Temperature alignment of scenario

Select from:

- ☒ 1.5°C or lower

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2022

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2025
- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Local ecosystem asset interactions, dependencies and impacts

- ☒ Changes to the state of nature

- ☒ Climate change (one of five drivers of nature change)

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

We anticipate a shift to a low-carbon society due to increased sales of low-carbon products and other factors, increasing severity of extreme weather events and natural disasters, such as floods and typhoons.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

We forecast the impact that changes in the business environment caused by climate change may have on our businesses and management, and then create scenarios based on this. We consider the “1.5°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature does not exceed that of preindustrial times by more than 1.5°C by 2050, and the “4°C scenario,” under which the average global temperature is likely to exceed that of preindustrial times by 3.2 to 5.4°C by 2050.

Water

(5.1.1.1) Scenario used

Water scenarios

- ☒ WRI Aqueduct

(5.1.1.3) Approach to scenario

Select from:

- ☒ Qualitative

(5.1.1.4) Scenario coverage

Select from:

- ☒ Facility

(5.1.1.5) Risk types considered in scenario

Select all that apply

- ☒ Policy
- ☒ Market
- ☒ Liability

(5.1.1.7) Reference year

2023

(5.1.1.8) Timeframes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ 2025
- ☒ 2030
- ☒ 2040
- ☒ 2050

(5.1.1.9) Driving forces in scenario

Stakeholder and customer demands

- ☒ Consumer attention to impact

Regulators, legal and policy regimes

- ☒ Other regulators, legal and policy regimes driving forces, please specify :Strengthening water withdrawal regulations in each country and region

(5.1.1.10) Assumptions, uncertainties and constraints in scenario

The Nitto Group developed projected scenarios related to "ecosystem services"—closely tied to physical risk affecting business activities—and "policies, regulations, or changing industry and market needs"—closely tied to transition risk.

(5.1.1.11) Rationale for choice of scenario

Looking ahead to 2050, we are considering scenarios in which the priority given to nature is high (due to increasing trends in policies, regulations, or industry and market needs) with minimal degradation of ecosystem services (achieving nature positivity), as well as scenarios in which the priority given to nature is low (with policies, regulations, or market needs remaining unchanged or declining) with significant ecosystem service degradation. Moreover, we believe that a state in which

the degradation of ecosystem services is minimized (realization of nature-positive) is similar to the 1.5°C scenario for climate change, as it results from the significant reduction of greenhouse gas emissions, which slows climate change and limits its impact on nature. On the other hand, a state in which ecosystem services have severely deteriorated is considered to be similar to a 4°C climate change scenario, since it results from a failure to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, leading to more frequent and severe abnormal weather and natural disasters, and causing an increasingly serious impact on nature.

[Add row]

(5.1.2) Provide details of the outcomes of your organization's scenario analysis.

Climate change

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning
- ☒ Resilience of business model and strategy
- ☒ Capacity building
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

We forecast the impact that changes in the business environment caused by climate change may have on our businesses and management, and then create scenarios (1.5°C scenario/4°C scenario) based on this. The short (less than 3 years) and medium-term (3 to 5 years) risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term (5 years or more) risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize. As a group, we manage risks and opportunities related to the environment issues including climate change comprehensively by integrating them with other major risks that significantly impact our business operations. Under the 1.5°C scenario, the major factors of profit decline are as follows: increase in transition costs (raw material costs) to low GHG-emitting raw materials, increase in taxation costs (operating costs) due to the increased introduction of carbon taxes and carbon levies, and increase in petroleum-derived raw material costs due to carbon taxes and other taxes in the upstream of the value chain passed on to raw materials. We will take the following measures to minimize risk: promote energy saving in the manufacturing process by shifting to solvent-free processes, achieve energy saving by improving infrastructure and utility efficiency, and strive to utilize fully renewable energy. Furthermore, by

promoting the development of recycled materials in cooperation with our suppliers, we will reduce the usage of raw materials through the effective procurement of sustainable materials and effective utilization of resources. On the other hand, we expect revenue from products contributing to the environment (PlanetFlags™ products) to increase due to increased demand for recycled products. Under the 4°C scenario, the major factors of profit decline are as follows: increase in petroleum-derived raw materials procurement costs due to soaring fossil fuel prices, and damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, etc., plant shutdowns, and lost opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to a flood, high tide, etc. We will take the following measures to minimize risk: reduce the usage of raw materials through the effective utilization of resources and develop preemptive prevention measures through the promotion of business continuity management (BCM) across Nitto Group business locations. We believe these measures will enable us to mitigate the increase in petroleum-derived raw materials procurement costs due to soaring fossil fuel prices, as well as the damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, etc., plant shutdowns, and lost opportunities due to a flood, high tide, etc. by both 2030 and 2050. On the other hand, we expect revenue from medical-related products, from among our products contributing to human life (HumanFlags products), to increase with the increase of health damage, such as infectious diseases due to rising average temperature. In order to respond to these risks, the Board of Directors has decided on a 2030 management target (CO₂ emission) and Nitto Group Carbon Neutral 2050, as well as a decarbonization investment of 60 billion yen to achieve this target. In addition, with the aim of achieving the target ahead of schedule, the Board of Directors has decided to increase the investment scale from 60 billion yen to 80 billion yen by fiscal 2030. To minimize these risks and maximize opportunities, we conduct environmental education, including on climate change, for all employees, including executives, to convey the importance of environmentally conscious business activities. As an achievement over the five-year period through fiscal year 2025, we implemented 37.2 billion yen in decarbonization-related investments. These investments were primarily used for energy conservation through improving equipment operations and replacing equipment with high-efficiency equipment, as well as increasing the use of renewable energy through the installation and expansion of solar power generation facilities. As a result, GHG emissions were reduced by 359 thousand tons by fiscal year 2025 compared with fiscal year 2020, reaching approximately 95% of the reduction required to achieve the fiscal year 2030 target.

Water

(5.1.2.1) Business processes influenced by your analysis of the reported scenarios

Select all that apply

- ☒ Risk and opportunities identification, assessment and management
- ☒ Strategy and financial planning
- ☒ Resilience of business model and strategy
- ☒ Capacity building
- ☒ Target setting and transition planning

(5.1.2.2) Coverage of analysis

Select from:

- ☒ Organization-wide

(5.1.2.3) Summarize the influence of the scenario analysis on the selected business processes

We are developing scenarios that envision the potential states of the world related to “ecosystem services” which are closely linked to physical risks affecting business activities, and “policies, legal regulations, or industry and market needs,” which are closely associated with transition risks. The short-term (less than 3 years), and medium-term (3 to 5 years) risks and opportunities are reflected in the mid-term management plan. For the long-term (5 years or more) risks and opportunities, we conduct a financial quantitative analysis to identify the business impact (financial impact) in the event that the respective scenarios materialize. As a group, we manage risks and opportunities related to the environment issues including nature comprehensively by integrating them with other major risks that significantly impact our business operations. When priority is given to nature and the negative impact is minimized, the main negative impact is an increase in operating costs due to strengthened water intake regulations. For this reason, we are considering reducing water intake and improving water usage efficiency at each manufacturing site. In addition, the main positive impact is an increase in sales of membrane products due to increased demand for water recycling products. When the priority on nature is low and the negative effects have become more severe, the main negative impact is the decline in production capacity due to the reduction of water resources (difficulty in securing water). For this reason, we are considering reducing water consumption and improving water usage efficiency at high-risk sites. As a result, from the perspectives of water stress and drought risk, we identified priority sites that should preferentially work on reducing water withdrawals and promoting water recycling, and set targets—at least 420 kt/year for “Water recycling volume at a priority site (Kameyama site),” and 100% target for “Recycled water utilization ratio in the manufacturing processes at a priority site (Shiga site).” Furthermore, we conduct environmental education, including on water, for all employees, including executives, to convey the importance of environmentally conscious business activities.

[Fixed row]

(5.2) Does your organization’s strategy include a climate transition plan?

(5.2.1) Transition plan

Select from:

☒ No, but we are developing a climate transition plan within the next two years

(5.2.20) Primary reason for not having a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

Select from:

☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.2.21) Explain why your organization does not have a climate transition plan that aligns with a 1.5°C world

A scenario analysis was conducted again in 2023 to re-identify risks and opportunities. Measures to address the newly identified risks and opportunities are currently under consideration. Once these have been made clear, we plan to proceed with the development of a transition plan.

[Fixed row]

(5.3) Have environmental risks and opportunities affected your strategy and/or financial planning?

(5.3.1) Environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy and/or financial planning

Select from:

☒ Yes, both strategy and financial planning

(5.3.2) Business areas where environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy

Select all that apply

☒ Products and services

☒ Upstream/downstream value chain

☒ Investment in R&D

☒ Operations

[Fixed row]

(5.3.1) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your strategy.

Products and services

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

☒ Opportunities

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

In the 1.5°C scenario, we are working to expand products that contribute to the environment (PlanetFlags™ products) to maximize opportunities, and we expect

increased sales due to greater demand for low-carbon products such as recycled goods.

Upstream/downstream value chain

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Under the 1.5°C scenario, we promote the development of recycled materials in cooperation with our suppliers. We believe that this initiative can help to curb the increase in costs (material costs) associated with switching to low GHG emission raw materials. Under 4°C scenario, we anticipate the risk of damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, etc., plant shutdowns, and lost opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to a flood, high tide, etc. For this reason, we will implement BCP planning at partners and a system to check the safety of and impact on partners. We believe the impact can be mitigated through these initiatives.

Investment in R&D

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Transition risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Under the 1.5°C scenario, we will take the following measures to minimize risk: promote energy saving in the manufacturing process by shifting to solvent-free processes, achieve energy saving by improving infrastructure and utility efficiency, and strive to utilize fully renewable energy. We believe that this initiative can help curb the rise in tax costs (operational costs) due to the expansion of carbon tax and carbon fee, as well as soaring equipment investment costs (costs for introducing high-efficiency equipment) resulting from the development and adoption of energy-efficient technologies.

Operations

(5.3.1.1) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks

(5.3.1.2) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected your strategy in this area

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.1.3) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected your strategy in this area

Under the 4°C scenario, we are advancing the reduction of raw material usage through the efficient use of resources. We believe that this initiative can help curb the increase in procurement costs for petroleum-derived raw materials due to the soaring prices of fossil fuels. Furthermore, under the 4 °C scenario, we anticipate the risk of damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, etc., plant shutdowns, and lost opportunities (decrease in revenue) due to a flood, high tide, etc. For this reason, we will continue the promotion of business continuity management (BCM) across the company and Nitto Group business locations. We believe the impact can be mitigated through these initiatives.

[Add row]

(5.3.2) Describe where and how environmental risks and opportunities have affected your financial planning.

Row 1

(5.3.2.1) Financial planning elements that have been affected

Select all that apply

- ☒ Revenues

(5.3.2.2) Effect type

Select all that apply

- ☒ Physical risks
- ☒ Transition risks

(5.3.2.3) Environmental issues relevant to the risks and/or opportunities that have affected these financial planning elements

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change

(5.3.2.4) Describe how environmental risks and/or opportunities have affected these financial planning elements

Our 80-billion-yen investment for decarbonization over the ten-year period from 2021 to 2030 is being directed primarily to minimize the risks assumed in the 1.5°C scenario: shifting to solvent-free processes, improving infrastructure and utility efficiency, and using renewable energy. Scenario analysis has shown that these measures will enable us to save more than 10 billion yen in costs in 2030 on a single-year basis. Therefore, we believe the expected benefits make it a reasonable investment. We consider this as validation of the resilience of our strategies for both the 1.5°C scenario and 4°C scenario, and will aim to minimize risks and maximize opportunities even further moving forward.

[Add row]

(5.4) In your organization's financial accounting, do you identify spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition?

	Identification of spending/revenue that is aligned with your organization's climate transition
	Select from: ☒ No, but we plan to in the next two years

[Fixed row]

(5.9) What is the trend in your organization's water-related capital expenditure (CAPEX) and operating expenditure (OPEX) for the reporting year, and the anticipated trend for the next reporting year?

(5.9.1) Water-related CAPEX (+/- % change)

176.37

(5.9.2) Anticipated forward trend for CAPEX (+/- % change)

-57.38

(5.9.3) Water-related OPEX (+/- % change)

176.37

(5.9.4) Anticipated forward trend for OPEX (+/- % change)

-57.38

(5.9.5) Please explain

Investments were made in equipment related to water use, such as repairs to pumps for using industrial water within the site, as well as the introduction of devices for collecting wastewater containing solvents. In FY2026, no major investments are planned, and investment levels are expected to return to those required for the maintenance of regular water usage. In addition, we expect that personnel expenses and other costs will similarly increase along with the capital investment.

[Fixed row]

(5.10) Does your organization use an internal price on environmental externalities?

	Use of internal pricing for this environmental externality	Primary reason for not pricing this environmental externality	Explain why your organization does not price this environmental externality
Carbon	Select from: ☒ Yes		
Water	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years	Select from: ☒ Not an immediate strategic priority	We do not consider there to be any water-related risks that could have a significant impact on our business operation in the future.
Other	Select from: ☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years		

[Fixed row]

(5.10.1) Provide details of your organization's internal price on carbon.

Row 1

(5.10.1.1) Type of pricing scheme

Select from:

☒ Shadow price

(5.10.1.2) Objectives for implementing internal price

Select all that apply

☒ Drive low-carbon investment

(5.10.1.3) Factors considered when determining the price

Select all that apply

- ☒ Alignment with the price of allowances under an Emissions Trading Scheme
- ☒ Scenario analysis

(5.10.1.4) Calculation methodology and assumptions made in determining the price

The Nitto Group has implemented environmental investment based on internal carbon pricing (ICP) in order to ensure achievement of the 2030 Management Targets (CO₂ emissions) committed in Nitto Group Carbon Neutral 2050. We are promoting investment, primarily in new environmental technology and facilities, at an assumed internal carbon price of 10,000 yen/t-CO₂, based on consideration of EU-ETS and other external trends. In addition, we have referred to the carbon pricing forecasts for each country predicted by the scenarios reported by the IEA to confirm that the above prices are appropriate.

(5.10.1.5) Scopes covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 1
- ☒ Scope 2

(5.10.1.6) Pricing approach used – spatial variance

Select from:

- ☒ Uniform

(5.10.1.8) Pricing approach used – temporal variance

Select from:

- ☒ Static

(5.10.1.10) Minimum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO₂e)

10000

(5.10.1.11) Maximum actual price used (currency per metric ton CO₂e)

10000

(5.10.1.12) Business decision-making processes the internal price is applied to

Select all that apply

- ☒ Capital expenditure
- ☒ Risk management

(5.10.1.13) Internal price is mandatory within business decision-making processes

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, for all decision-making processes

(5.10.1.14) % total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers

3.93

(5.10.1.15) Pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve objectives

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(5.10.1.16) Details of how the pricing approach is monitored and evaluated to achieve your objectives

To achieve our 2030 CO2 emissions target of 400,000 tons, we plan to invest 80 billion yen between 2021 and 2030. ICP is used as part of the decision-making process to determine if an investment is effective in addressing climate change. The ICP price is set at 10,000 yen/t-CO2, taking into account external trends such as the EU-ETS, and suitability is determined by conducting an evaluation in terms of the CO2 reduction effect for the investment. The % of total emissions in the reporting year in selected scopes this internal price covers in 2025 is calculated by dividing the CAPEX subject to internal pricing by total company-wide CAPEX.
[Add row]

(5.11) Do you engage with your value chain on environmental issues?

Suppliers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water

Customers

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

- ☒ Climate change
- ☒ Water

Investors and shareholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(5.11.3) Primary reason for not engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

- ☒ Lack of internal resources, capabilities, or expertise (e.g., due to organization size)

(5.11.4) Explain why you do not engage with this stakeholder on environmental issues

We prioritize working with our suppliers and customers, both upstream and downstream of Scope 3, and our engagement with investors and shareholders is centered

on dialogue.

Other value chain stakeholders

(5.11.1) Engaging with this stakeholder on environmental issues

Select from:

☒ Yes

(5.11.2) Environmental issues covered

Select all that apply

☒ Water

[Fixed row]

(5.11.1) Does your organization assess and classify suppliers according to their dependencies and/or impacts on the environment?

Climate change

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Contribution to supplier-related Scope 3 emissions

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We assess each supplier through the EcoVadis Carbon Action Manager. Suppliers rated “Insufficient” are considered to have substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts and are classified as priority suppliers. We subsequently collect primary data, conduct regular performance assessments, and collaborate with these suppliers on targeted emissions reduction initiatives to drive continuous improvement.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

64

Water

(5.11.1.1) Assessment of supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Yes, we assess the dependencies and/or impacts of our suppliers

(5.11.1.2) Criteria for assessing supplier dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select all that apply

☒ Basin/landscape/marine ecosystem condition

☒ Dependence on water

☒ Impact on water availability

☒ Impact on pollution levels

(5.11.1.3) % Tier 1 suppliers assessed

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.1.4) Define a threshold for classifying suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

We assess each supplier's water-related dependencies and/or impacts through EcoVadis. Suppliers with an EcoVadis water-related score of 45 or below are considered to have substantive water-related dependencies and/or impacts and are classified as priority suppliers.

(5.11.1.5) % Tier 1 suppliers meeting the threshold for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.1.6) Number of Tier 1 suppliers meeting the thresholds for substantive dependencies and/or impacts on the environment

78
[Fixed row]

(5.11.2) Does your organization prioritize which suppliers to engage with on environmental issues?

Climate change

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to climate change

- ☒ Procurement spend
- ☒ Regulatory compliance

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

When engaging with suppliers on environmental issues, we use criteria to classify suppliers as having significant dependencies and impacts related to climate change, water, and plastics, and assign a priority ranking accordingly. In the area of climate change, we prioritize engagement with suppliers whose legal compliance and procurement costs are high and whose CO₂ emissions exceed a certain threshold. In terms of water, we prioritize engagement with suppliers whose legal compliance and procurement costs are high and whose water risks exceed a certain threshold. For plastics, as with climate change and water, we also prioritize engagement using certain criteria.

Water

(5.11.2.1) Supplier engagement prioritization on this environmental issue

Select from:

- ☒ Yes, we prioritize which suppliers to engage with on this environmental issue

(5.11.2.2) Criteria informing which suppliers are prioritized for engagement on this environmental issue

Select all that apply

- ☒ In line with the criteria used to classify suppliers as having substantive dependencies and/or impacts relating to water

(5.11.2.4) Please explain

When engaging with suppliers on environmental issues, we use criteria to classify suppliers as having significant dependencies and impacts related to climate change, water, and plastics, and assign a priority ranking accordingly. In the area of climate change, we prioritize engagement with suppliers whose legal compliance and procurement costs are high and whose CO₂ emissions exceed a certain threshold. In terms of water, we prioritize engagement with suppliers whose legal compliance and procurement costs are high and whose water risks exceed a certain threshold. For plastics, as with climate change and water, we also prioritize engagement using certain criteria.

[Fixed row]

(5.11.5) Do your suppliers have to meet environmental requirements as part of your organization's purchasing process?

	Suppliers have to meet specific environmental requirements related to this environmental issue as part of the purchasing process	Policy in place for addressing supplier non-compliance	Comment
Climate change	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	<i>We have a policy in place to address noncompliance. In addition, we are in the process of obtaining written consent to our CSR procurement guidelines.</i>
Water	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes, environmental requirements related to this environmental issue are included in our supplier contracts	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes, we have a policy in place for addressing non-compliance	<i>We have a policy in place to address noncompliance. In addition, we are in the process of obtaining written consent to our CSR procurement guidelines.</i>

[Fixed row]

(5.11.6) Provide details of the environmental requirements that suppliers have to meet as part of your organization's purchasing process, and the compliance measures in place.

Climate change

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Implementation of emissions reduction initiatives

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

☒ Supplier scorecard or rating

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.6.7) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.8) % tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions attributable to the suppliers in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

☒ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics

- ☒ Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
- ☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

The Nitto Group is committed to CSR procurement under its Basic Policy on Procurement and the Nitto Business Conduct Guidelines to be a company trusted and willingly chosen by all its stakeholders. We ask our partners to follow the Supplier Code of Conduct, and to ensure that they do so, we ask them to sign and submit a Code of Conduct Agreement Confirmation Form. The Nitto Group will give priority to doing business with partners that comply with the Supplier Code of Conduct. In addition, through CSR assessment activities (including evaluations using EcoVadis, self-assessments conducted by partners, and on-site assessments conducted by the Nitto Group), We will objectively evaluate efforts to address environmental issues, including climate change and water, and proactively support our partners in realizing initiatives that reflect this Code of Conduct.

Water

(5.11.6.1) Environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ Setting and monitoring withdrawal reduction targets

(5.11.6.2) Mechanisms for monitoring compliance with this environmental requirement

Select all that apply

- ☒ Supplier scorecard or rating
- ☒ Supplier self-assessment

(5.11.6.3) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.6.4) % tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

- ☒ 51-75%

(5.11.6.5) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue required to comply with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.6) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive environmental dependencies and/or impacts related to this environmental issue that are in compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ 100%

(5.11.6.9) Response to supplier non-compliance with this environmental requirement

Select from:

☒ Retain and engage

(5.11.6.10) % of non-compliant suppliers engaged

Select from:

☒ 1-25%

(5.11.6.11) Procedures to engage non-compliant suppliers

Select all that apply

- ☒ Assessing the efficacy and efforts of non-compliant supplier actions through consistent and quantified metrics
- ☒ Developing quantifiable, time-bound targets and milestones to bring suppliers back into compliance
- ☒ Providing information on appropriate actions that can be taken to address non-compliance

(5.11.6.12) Comment

The Nitto Group is committed to CSR procurement under its Basic Policy on Procurement and the Nitto Business Conduct Guidelines to be a company trusted and willingly chosen by all its stakeholders. We ask our partners to follow the Supplier Code of Conduct, and to ensure that they do so, we ask them to sign and submit a Code of Conduct Agreement Confirmation Form. The Nitto Group will give priority to doing business with partners that comply with the Supplier Code of Conduct. In

addition, through CSR assessment activities (including evaluations using EcoVadis, self-assessments conducted by partners, and on-site assessments conducted by the Nitto Group), We will objectively evaluate efforts to address environmental issues, including climate change and water, and proactively support our partners in realizing initiatives that reflect this Code of Conduct.

[Add row]

(5.11.7) Provide further details of your organization's supplier engagement on environmental issues.

Climate change

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to measure GHG emissions
- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers
- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.6) % of tier 1 supplier-related scope 3 emissions covered by engagement

Select from:

☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

81

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

The Nitto Group actively works to reduce CO₂ emissions by utilizing CO₂ emissions calculation data provided by its suppliers. To support this effort, Nitto holds Partnership Meetings where it provides detailed explanations of CO₂ emissions calculation methodologies and requests suppliers to submit their CO₂ emissions data. For suppliers that face difficulties in calculating their emissions, Nitto organizes specialized workshops and provides calculation support through discussions. In addition, to promote the procurement of sustainable raw materials that contribute to CO₂ emissions reductions, Nitto explains the significance and specific approaches of this initiative during Partnership Meetings and requests suppliers to propose and provide new materials. According to a post-meeting survey, 64% of suppliers reported that they were taking action to reduce GHG emissions, exceeding the Partnership Meeting's success target of 50%. This result indicates a growing commitment among suppliers to addressing climate change and further strengthens efforts to reduce emissions across the entire supply chain.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Suppliers can more accurately assess the impact on the environment and implement effective reduction measures.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes

Water

(5.11.7.2) Action driven by supplier engagement

Select from:

☒ Total water withdrawal volumes reduction

(5.11.7.3) Type and details of engagement

Capacity building

- ☒ Provide training, support and best practices on how to mitigate environmental impact

(5.11.7.4) Upstream value chain coverage

Select all that apply

- ☒ Tier 1 suppliers
- ☒ Tier 2 suppliers

(5.11.7.5) % of tier 1 suppliers by procurement spend covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 51-75%

(5.11.7.7) % tier 1 suppliers with substantive impacts and/or dependencies related to this environmental issue covered by engagement

Select from:

- ☒ 100%

(5.11.7.8) Number of tier 2+ suppliers engaged

81

(5.11.7.9) Describe the engagement and explain the effect of your engagement on the selected environmental action

At the annual Nitto Partnership Meeting, Tier 1 suppliers are invited to discuss CSR and broader environmental topics. The meeting also emphasizes the importance of water resource management, including the reduction of total water withdrawals, and seeks suppliers' understanding and cooperation regarding the need for coordinated action across the entire supply chain. According to the post-meeting survey, 31% of suppliers reported that they manage their water withdrawals, exceeding the target of 30%. Separately, Nitto uses EcoVadis water-related indicators to collect data on each supplier's total water use and has also established improvement in the relevant EcoVadis scores as a key performance objective. Through this focus on water resource management, suppliers are encouraged to plan and implement measures aimed at improving local water quality and quantity, thereby strengthening water security in the regions where they operate.

(5.11.7.10) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers meet an environmental requirement related to this environmental issue

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify the environmental requirement :Suppliers can more accurately assess the impact on the environment and implement effective reduction measures.

(5.11.7.11) Engagement is helping your tier 1 suppliers engage with their own suppliers on the selected action

Select from:

☒ Yes

[Add row]

(5.11.9) Provide details of any environmental engagement activity with other stakeholders in the value chain.

Climate change

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services

☒ Share information about your products and relevant certification schemes

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.4) % stakeholder-associated scope 3 emissions

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Achieving carbon neutrality for society as a whole requires the management and reduction not only of the company's own CO2 emissions, but also those of the entire supply chain, from raw material procurement to transportation, and even to the use and disposal of products by customers. We are engaging with our customers to this end. In terms of eco-friendly products, the Nitto Group handles PlanetFlags™ products and shares information about them with its customers. In addition, for some of these PlanetFlags™ products, we are actively engaged in a dialogue with our customers to help them reduce CO2 emissions during use. As a result, we are reducing CO2 emissions throughout the supply chain.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

We measure our success by the year-over-year increase in sales of PlanetFlags™ products, which emit less CO2 when used, through engagement with our customers. We believe that increased sales of these products will result in reduced electricity consumption by our customers, thereby reducing Scope2 of customers. Customer use of PlanetFlags™ product, RO membranes for ZLD applications, reduced CO2 emissions by 137 tons per year in the reporting year.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Other value chain stakeholder, please specify :local community

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Share information on environmental initiatives, progress and achievements

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

Effective use of water is vital if we are to pass on a bountiful planet to future generations. With the introduction of water recycling facilities, the Nitto Group is able to use water resources more efficiently. These facilities are also BCP compliant, ensuring that even if external water supply is cut off due to abnormal weather conditions, the impact will be minimized. This means that during emergencies, the water needed for production can be supplied in-house, ensuring that the disruption felt by local communities is reduced as much as possible. The water recycling facilities at the Shiga Plant, where this equipment is in operation, have achieved a recycled water utilization ratio in manufacturing processes of more than 80%.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

The success of engagement is measured by progress toward the long-term target of achieving a 100% recycled water utilization ratio in the manufacturing processes at a priority site (Shiga Site) by 2030. In the reporting year, the recycled water utilization ratio in the manufacturing processes exceeded 80%. This result demonstrates continued progress toward the target, and therefore we consider the engagement to have been successful. The positive impact of successful engagement is that increased water recycling reduces reliance on externally sourced water. Furthermore, in the event of an emergency or extreme weather event, the plant can maintain operations while minimizing impacts on the local community.

Water

(5.11.9.1) Type of stakeholder

Select from:

☒ Customers

(5.11.9.2) Type and details of engagement

Education/Information sharing

☒ Run an engagement campaign to educate stakeholders about the environmental impacts about your products, goods and/or services

(5.11.9.3) % of stakeholder type engaged

Select from:

☒ Less than 1%

(5.11.9.5) Rationale for engaging these stakeholders and scope of engagement

In order to solve water-related issues throughout the value chain, we are engaging with customers through the deployment of Nitto Group membrane products. Nitto Group's membrane business contributes to solving global environmental and water issues by supplying drinking water to areas suffering from water shortages and by recovering and reusing industrial wastewater. In emerging countries where water pollution is becoming more severe, regulations are being strengthened to achieve a healthy water cycle. From the perspective of reducing water pollution risks and promoting the regeneration and reuse of wastewater, Zero Liquid Discharge (ZLD) is being promoted to eliminate liquid waste. To achieve zero discharge, system based on thermal treatment processes, such as evaporation drying using boilers (dry evaporators), are common. However, thermal treatment processes consume a significant amount of energy and are very costly, making them heavy loads on the environment. Therefore, by incorporating a membrane treatment process using our company's product as a pre-treatment, it is possible to reduce the burden on thermal treatment, thereby reducing energy consumption and costs.

(5.11.9.6) Effect of engagement and measures of success

By using Nitto Group's membrane products, customers can reduce their energy consumption and costs. Therefore, as a result of engagement, the achievement of the budgeted revenue targets for Nitto Group membrane business is considered an indicator of success. The membrane business revenue at FY2025 is 33 billion, nearly achieved all of its budgeted revenue target, which is 34 billion, and we believe that this demonstrates the effectiveness of the engagement.
[Add row]

C6. Environmental performance - Consolidation approach

(6.1) Provide details on your chosen consolidation approach for the calculation of environmental performance data.

Climate change

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We consider our manufacturing and processing sites to be the main source of our environmental impact (climate change, forest, water, plastics, biodiversity), and as such, all manufacturing and processing sites that involve production are subject to management. Operations management has been selected for this reason.

Water

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We consider our manufacturing and processing sites to be the main source of our environmental impact (climate change, forest, water, plastics, biodiversity), and as such, all manufacturing and processing sites that involve production are subject to management. Operations management has been selected for this reason.

Biodiversity

(6.1.1) Consolidation approach used

Select from:

☒ Operational control

(6.1.2) Provide the rationale for the choice of consolidation approach

We consider our manufacturing and processing sites to be the main source of our environmental impact (climate change, forest, water, plastics, biodiversity), and as such, all manufacturing and processing sites that involve production are subject to management. Operations management has been selected for this reason.

[Fixed row]

C7. Environmental performance - Climate change

(7.1) Is this your first year of reporting emissions data to CDP?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.1.1) Has your organization undergone any structural changes in the reporting year, or are any previous structural changes being accounted for in this disclosure of emissions data?

	Has there been a structural change?
	Select all that apply ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.1.2) Has your emissions accounting methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition changed in the reporting year?

	Change(s) in methodology, boundary, and/or reporting year definition?
	Select all that apply ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.2) Select the name of the standard, protocol, or methodology you have used to collect activity data and calculate emissions.

Select all that apply

- ☒ IEA CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Scope 2 Guidance
- ☒ US EPA Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID)
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: Corporate Value Chain (Scope 3) Standard
- ☒ The Greenhouse Gas Protocol: A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard (Revised Edition)
- ☒ Japan Ministry of the Environment, Law Concerning the Promotion of the Measures to Cope with Global Warming, Superseded by Revision of the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures (2005 Amendment)

(7.3) Describe your organization's approach to reporting Scope 2 emissions.

(7.3.1) Scope 2, location-based

Select from:

- ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, location-based figure

(7.3.2) Scope 2, market-based

Select from:

- ☒ We are reporting a Scope 2, market-based figure

(7.3.3) Comment

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. (Market) Figures of Japan and Germany indicate emission coefficients by electric power companies. And figures of Taiwan indicate emission coefficients by ministry. Other areas are calculated by regional coefficients provided by the International Energy Agency's (IEA) CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID). (Location) Figures of Japan indicate Japan domestic average, figures of U.S. are calculated by the United States EPA eGRID, and figures of other areas are

calculated by regional coefficients provided by IEA.

[Fixed row]

(7.4) Are there any sources (e.g. facilities, specific GHGs, activities, geographies, etc.) of Scope 1, Scope 2 or Scope 3 emissions that are excluded from your disclosure of climate-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.4.1) Provide details of the sources of Scope 1, Scope 2, or Scope 3 emissions which are not included in your disclosure.

Row 1

(7.4.1.1) Source of excluded emissions

Sales offices and research location of domestic and overseas group companies that do not involve manufacturing are excluded.

(7.4.1.2) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies)

Select all that apply

- | | |
|---|---|
| ☒ Scope 1 | ☒ Scope 2 (location-based) |
| ☒ Scope 3: Franchises | ☒ Scope 3: Business travel |
| ☒ Scope 3: Investments | ☒ Scope 3: Other (upstream) |
| ☒ Scope 2 (market-based) | ☒ Scope 3: Other (downstream) |
| ☒ Scope 3: Capital goods | ☒ Scope 3: Employee commuting |
| ☒ Scope 3: Use of sold products | ☒ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations |
| ☒ Scope 3: Upstream leased assets | ☒ Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products |
| ☒ Scope 3: Downstream leased assets | ☒ Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution |
| ☒ Scope 3: Processing of sold products | ☒ Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution |
| ☒ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services | ☒ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) |

(7.4.1.3) Relevance of Scope 1 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.4) Relevance of location-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.5) Relevance of market-based Scope 2 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.6) Relevance of Scope 3 emissions from this source

Select from:

☒ Emissions are not relevant

(7.4.1.8) Estimated percentage of total Scope 1+2 emissions this excluded source represents

0.5

(7.4.1.9) Estimated percentage of total Scope 3 emissions this excluded source represents

0

(7.4.1.10) Explain why this source is excluded

Regarding business and sales offices that do not involve manufacturing belonging to domestic and overseas group companies, Scope 1, Scope 2, and categories 1–7 and 9–12 of Scope 3 are considered of no relevance, since the figures for these categories are close to zero. Similarly, Scope 3 Category 8 (Upstream leased assets) is already accounted for in Scope 1 and 2, and Scope 3 Category 13 (Downstream leased assets), Category 14 (Franchises), and Category 15 (Investments) do not apply.

(7.4.1.11) Explain how you estimated the percentage of emissions this excluded source represents

Electricity consumption was calculated for business and sales offices that do not involve manufacturing belonging to domestic and overseas group companies, and it

accounted for 0.5% of the Group's total consumption. Scope 3 emissions are negligible, so are estimated as 0%.

[Add row]

(7.5) Provide your base year and base year emissions.

Scope 1

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

363488

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. Energy (fuel, steam): Coefficient stipulated in "Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures". Energy (hot water): Emission coefficients for each supplier. Materials burned within Nitto Group's premises (solvent): Coefficient decided by Nitto assuming combustion reaction of solvent.

Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

404125

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas

Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. (Location) Figures of Japan indicate Japan domestic average, figures of U.S. are calculated by the United States EPA eGRID, and figures of other areas are calculated by regional coefficients provided by IEA.

Scope 2 (market-based)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2021

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

382241

(7.5.3) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. (Market) Figures of Japan and Germany indicate emission coefficients by electric power companies. And figures of Taiwan indicate emission coefficients by ministry. Other areas are calculated by regional coefficients provided by the International Energy Agency's (IEA) CO₂ Emissions from Fuel Combustion, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID).

Scope 3 category 1: Purchased goods and services

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

1561631

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Direct purchase: $\sum \{\text{Weight of purchased main raw materials by type} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit} \times 1\}$ Indirect purchase: $\sum \{\text{Purchase amount by account} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$

Scope 3 category 2: Capital goods

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

127481

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Equipment investment amount x CO2 emissions per unit

Scope 3 category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

75884

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\Sigma\{\text{Amount of purchased energy by type} \times \text{CO2 emissions per unit}\}$

Scope 3 category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

73846

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Sum of Raw materials and Products and intermediate products
Raw materials: $\Sigma\{\text{ton-km} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$ Products and intermediate products (domestic) :
Based on the Act on the Rationalizing Energy Use Products and intermediate products (export) : $\Sigma\{\text{ton-km} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$

Scope 3 category 5: Waste generated in operations

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21214.678

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\Sigma\{\text{Amount of waste discharged (include scrap for sale) by type and treatment method} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$

Scope 3 category 6: Business travel

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3688

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\text{Number of employees by site} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}$

Scope 3 category 7: Employee commuting

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

11811

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\Sigma\{\text{Number of employees by site} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit} \times \text{Annual operating days}\}$

Scope 3 category 8: Upstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Excluded from calculation as all amounts have been included in Scope 1 and 2

Scope 3 category 9: Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29520

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\Sigma\{\text{ton-km} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$ (based on scenarios)

Scope 3 category 10: Processing of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

75935

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\text{Product shipping weight} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}$

Scope 3 category 11: Use of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

287

(7.5.3) Methodological details

$\text{Sales volume} \times \text{Annual power consumption} \times \text{Useful life} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}$

Scope 3 category 12: End of life treatment of sold products

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

510128

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Product shipping weight × CO2 emissions per unit

Scope 3 category 13: Downstream leased assets

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A (no leased assets)

Scope 3 category 14: Franchises

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A (no franchises)

Scope 3 category 15: Investments

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.013

(7.5.3) Methodological details

Scope 1 and 2 emissions from our three equity method affiliates

Scope 3: Other (upstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A

Scope 3: Other (downstream)

(7.5.1) Base year end

03/31/2023

(7.5.2) Base year emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.5.3) Methodological details

N/A
[Fixed row]

(7.6) What were your organization's gross global Scope 1 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

272000

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. Energy (fuel, steam): Coefficient stipulated in "Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures". Energy (hot water): Emission coefficients for each supplier. Materials burned within Nitto Group's premises (solvent): Coefficient decided by Nitto assuming combustion reaction of solvent.

Past year 1

(7.6.1) Gross global Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

291000

(7.6.2) End date

03/31/2025

(7.6.3) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. Energy (fuel): Coefficient stipulated in "Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures". Materials burned by Nitto Gr. (solvent): Coefficient decided by Nitto assuming combustion reaction of solvent.
[Fixed row]

(7.7) What were your organization's gross global Scope 2 emissions in metric tons CO2e?

Reporting year

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

396000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

89000

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. (Market) Figures of Japan and Germany indicate emission coefficients by electric power companies. And figures of Taiwan indicate emission coefficients by ministry. Other areas are calculated by regional coefficients provided by the International Energy Agency's (IEA) CO2 Emissions from Fuel Combustion, and the United States Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Emissions & Generation Resource Integrated Database (eGRID). (Location) Figures of Japan indicate Japan domestic average, figures of U.S. are calculated by the United States EPA eGRID, and figures of other areas are calculated by regional coefficients provided by IEA.

Past year 1

(7.7.1) Gross global Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

399000

(7.7.2) Gross global Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

181000

(7.7.3) End date

03/31/2025

(7.7.4) Methodological details

The calculation method is based on emission coefficient of "A corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard Revised Edition" issued by The Greenhouse Gas Protocol. The emission factor is shown as below. Energy (fuel): Coefficient stipulated in "Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures". Materials burned by Nitto Gr. (solvent): Coefficient decided by Nitto assuming combustion reaction of solvent.
[Fixed row]

(7.8) Account for your organization's gross global Scope 3 emissions, disclosing and explaining any exclusions.

Purchased goods and services

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

1383000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Hybrid method

☒ Spend-based method

☒ Supplier-specific method

☒ Other, please specify :Because the information obtained from direct procurement and indirect procurement differs, the calculation methods are separated. The calculation has been performed using primary data obtained from major suppliers.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

(7.8.6) Please explain

Direct purchase: $\Sigma\{\text{Weight of purchased raw materials by type} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$ Indirect purchase: $\Sigma\{\text{Purchase amount by account} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$ The calculation was conducted using primary data (supplier-specific emission factors) obtained from major suppliers. The coverage of supplier-provided data was determined based on its contribution to total CO₂ emissions.

Capital goods**(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

227000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average spend-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Equipment investment amount x CO₂ emissions per unit

Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)**(7.8.1) Evaluation status**

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

56000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

☒ Other, please specify :Fuel-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

$\Sigma\{\text{Amount of purchased energy by type} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$

Upstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

108000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Raw materials: $\Sigma\{\text{ton-km} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$. Products and intermediate products(domestic): Based on the Act on the Rationalizing Energy Use. Products and intermediate products(export): $\Sigma\{\text{ton-km} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$

Waste generated in operations

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

120000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Waste-type-specific method

☒ Other, please specify :We have entered the value that includes GHG emissions from energy recovery of waste eligible for third-party assurance as our company's emissions.

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

$\Sigma \{ \text{Amount of industrial waste discharged by type and treatment method} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit} \}$

Business travel

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

4000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Spend-based method

☒ Other, please specify :Methodology based on number of employees: Number of employees by site x CO₂ emissions per unit

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Number of employees by site x CO₂ emissions per unit

Employee commuting

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO₂e)

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

- ☒ Average data method
- ☒ Other, please specify :Methodology based on number of employees: Number of employees by site x CO2 emissions per unit

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

$\Sigma\{\text{Number of employees by site} \times \text{CO2 emissions per unit} \times \text{Annual operating days}\}$

Upstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

- ☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

- ☒ Emissions covered under scope 1 or 2

(7.8.6) Please explain

Because all the energy used, etc., by upstream leased assets are included in Scope 1 and Scope 2 of the company when being calculated, there are no emissions to be reported in this category.

Downstream transportation and distribution

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

41000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Distance-based method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

$\Sigma\{\text{ton-km} \times \text{CO}_2 \text{ emissions per unit}\}$ (based on scenarios)

Processing of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

84000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Product shipping weight x CO2 emissions per unit

Use of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Methodology for direct use phase emissions, please specify :Average product method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Sales volume x Annual power consumption x Useful life x CO2 emissions per unit

End of life treatment of sold products

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Relevant, calculated

(7.8.2) Emissions in reporting year (metric tons CO2e)

174000

(7.8.3) Emissions calculation methodology

Select all that apply

☒ Average data method

☒ Waste-type-specific method

(7.8.4) Percentage of emissions calculated using data obtained from suppliers or value chain partners

0

(7.8.6) Please explain

Product shipping weight x CO2 emissions per unit (calculated using waste disposal rates for each country based on OECD statistical data published by Global Note)

Downstream leased assets

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

Not applicable as there are no downstream leased assets.

Franchises

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

There are no franchises in existence and therefore no emissions applicable.

Investments

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

No emissions are applicable since no financial or investment business is conducted.

Other (upstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

There are no relevant emissions.

Other (downstream)

(7.8.1) Evaluation status

Select from:

☒ Not relevant, explanation provided

(7.8.5) Reason for this category not being relevant

Select from:

☒ Not applicable to our organization's activities

(7.8.6) Please explain

There are no relevant emissions.

[Fixed row]

(7.8.1) Disclose or restate your Scope 3 emissions data for previous years.

Past year 1

(7.8.1.1) End date

03/31/2025

(7.8.1.2) Scope 3: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

1409000

(7.8.1.3) Scope 3: Capital goods (metric tons CO2e)

245000

(7.8.1.4) Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

68000

(7.8.1.5) Scope 3: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

120000

(7.8.1.6) Scope 3: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

124000

(7.8.1.7) Scope 3: Business travel (metric tons CO2e)

4000

(7.8.1.8) Scope 3: Employee commuting (metric tons CO2e)

11000

(7.8.1.9) Scope 3: Upstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.10) Scope 3: Downstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

40000

(7.8.1.11) Scope 3: Processing of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

83000

(7.8.1.12) Scope 3: Use of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.13) Scope 3: End of life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

581000

(7.8.1.14) Scope 3: Downstream leased assets (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.15) Scope 3: Franchises (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.16) Scope 3: Investments (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.17) Scope 3: Other (upstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.18) Scope 3: Other (downstream) (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.8.1.19) Comment

The calculation method is based on The Basic Guidelines on Accounting for Greenhouse Gas Emissions throughout the Supply Chain ver.2.6 (Ministry of the Environment and Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry in Japan). Emission coefficients are based on the following databases: a) The Emissions per Unit Database for the Purpose of Calculating the Greenhouse Gas and other Emissions of Organizations throughout the Supply Chain ver.3.3 b) AIST IDEA ver.3.4.1 c) ecoinvent v3.10

[Fixed row]

(7.9) Indicate the verification/assurance status that applies to your reported emissions.

	Verification/assurance status
Scope 1	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 2 (location-based or market-based)	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place
Scope 3	Select from: ☒ Third-party verification or assurance process in place

[Fixed row]

(7.9.1) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 1 emissions, and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.1.1) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.1.2) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.1.3) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.1.4) Attach the statement

FY26_CDP レター_NittoDenko.pdf

(7.9.1.5) Page/section reference

P.3

(7.9.1.6) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3410

(7.9.1.7) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.2) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 2 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.2.1) Scope 2 approach

Select from:

☒ Scope 2 market-based

(7.9.2.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.2.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.2.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.2.5) Attach the statement

FY26_CDP レター_NittoDenko.pdf

(7.9.2.6) Page/ section reference

P.3

(7.9.2.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3410

(7.9.2.8) Proportion of reported emissions verified (%)

100

[Add row]

(7.9.3) Provide further details of the verification/assurance undertaken for your Scope 3 emissions and attach the relevant statements.

Row 1

(7.9.3.1) Scope 3 category

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3: Purchased goods and services

☒ Scope 3: Capital goods

☒ Scope 3: Fuel and energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2)

☒ Scope 3: Waste generated in operations

☒ Scope 3: End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.9.3.2) Verification or assurance cycle in place

Select from:

☒ Annual process

(7.9.3.3) Status in the current reporting year

Select from:

☒ Complete

(7.9.3.4) Type of verification or assurance

Select from:

☒ Limited assurance

(7.9.3.5) Attach the statement

FY26_CDP レター_NittoDenko.pdf

(7.9.3.6) Page/section reference

P.3

(7.9.3.7) Relevant standard

Select all that apply

☒ ISAE 3410

(7.9.3.8) Proportion of selected emissions categories verified (%)

82

(7.9.3.9) Proportion of total reported scope 3 emissions verified (%)

73

[Add row]

(7.10) How do your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined) for the reporting year compare to those of the previous reporting year?

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1) Identify the reasons for any change in your gross global emissions (Scope 1 and 2 combined), and for each of them specify how your emissions compare to the previous year.

Change in renewable energy consumption

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

92000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

19.5

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*The “change in renewable energy consumption” has reduced CO2 emissions by 92,000 tons since last year. Total emissions for Scope 1 and Scope 2 in the previous year were 472,000 tons, resulting in an emissions rate of $(-92,000/472,000) * 100 = -19.5\%$. This represents a 19.5% reduction.*

Other emissions reduction activities

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19000

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

4

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

*The change of this item has reduced CO2 emissions by 19,000 tons since last year. Total emissions for Scope 1 and Scope 2 in the previous year were 472,000 tons, resulting in an emissions rate of $(-19,000/472,000) * 100 = -4\%$. This represents a 4% reduction.*

Divestment

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Acquisitions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Mergers

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Change in output

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Change in methodology

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Change in boundary

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Change in physical operating conditions

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Unidentified

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

Other

(7.10.1.1) Change in emissions (metric tons CO₂e)

0

(7.10.1.2) Direction of change in emissions

Select from:

☒ No change

(7.10.1.3) Emissions value (percentage)

0

(7.10.1.4) Please explain calculation

There are no relevant emission changes.

[Fixed row]

(7.10.2) Are your emissions performance calculations in 7.10 and 7.10.1 based on a location-based Scope 2 emissions figure or a market-based Scope 2 emissions figure?

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.12) Does your organization have significant land sector activities in its operations or value chain?

	Agriculture, forestry or other land sector activities	Specify the reason for no significant activities
	Select from: ☒ No, explanation provided	Select from: ☒ No relevant activities identified

[Fixed row]

(7.13) Does your organization choose to account for and report technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage, or have you done so in previous years?

	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage in the reporting year	Specify the reason for no technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geological storage in the reporting year	Technological CO2 removals or captured CO2 with geologic storage were accounted for and reported in previous years
	Select from: ☒ No, explanation provided	Select from: ☒ No relevant activities identified	Select from: ☒ No

[Fixed row]

(7.15) Does your organization break down its Scope 1 and/or Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type?

(7.15.1) Emissions breakdown by greenhouse gas type

Select from:

☒ Yes, both Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions

[Fixed row]

(7.15.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by greenhouse gas type and provide the source of each used global warming potential (GWP).

Row 1

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CO2

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

272000

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

272000

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

396000

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

396000

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

89000

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO₂e)

89000

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Calculated based on the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures

Row 2

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ CH₄

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

92

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO₂e)

2600

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO₂e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Calculated based on the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures

Row 3

(7.15.1.1) Greenhouse gas

Select from:

☒ HFCs

(7.15.1.2) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

618

(7.15.1.3) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

1100

(7.15.1.4) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.5) Scope 2 location-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.6) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of selected gas)

0

(7.15.1.7) Scope 2 market-based emissions (metric tons of CO2e)

0

(7.15.1.8) GWP Reference

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Calculated based on the Act on Promotion of Global Warming Countermeasures

[Add row]

(7.16) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 and 2 emissions by country/area.

Belgium

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16431.81

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

2476.022

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Brazil

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35.19

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

55.176

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

24410.98

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

70930.113

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

10723.38

Czechia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

676.949

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Germany

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10155.43

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

27058.45

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Hungary

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.7

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

84.338

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

India

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27.42

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

1084.553

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Indonesia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

112.9

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

280.526

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Japan

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

173722.77

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

186989.599

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

40232.96

Korea (The Republic of)

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14756.08

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

19499.24

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

4172.93

Malaysia

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

583.61

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

3284.756

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Mexico

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.19

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

87.678

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

87.68

Philippines

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

234.99

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

364.368

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Taiwan, China

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16791.48

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

26285.319

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

22561.73

Thailand

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1948.52

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

4535.454

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

Türkiye

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2630.65

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

6505.658

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0

United States of America

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9995.67

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

15206.732

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

11056.05

(7.16.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

497.03

(7.16.2) Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)

30641.585

(7.16.3) Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)

0
[Fixed row]

(7.17) Indicate which gross global Scope 1 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☒ By business division

(7.17.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 1 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 1 emissions (metric ton CO2e)
Row 1	Industrial tape	134000
Row 2	Optronics	104000
Row 3	Human Life	33000
Row 4	Others	1000

[Add row]

(7.20) Indicate which gross global Scope 2 emissions breakdowns you are able to provide.

Select all that apply
☒ By business division

(7.20.1) Break down your total gross global Scope 2 emissions by business division.

	Business division	Scope 2, location-based (metric tons CO2e)	Scope 2, market-based (metric tons CO2e)
Row 1	Industrial tape	118000	19000
Row 2	Optronics	217000	55000
Row 3	Human Life	57000	15000
Row 4	Others	4000	0

[Add row]

(7.22) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions between your consolidated accounting group and other entities included in your response.

Consolidated accounting group

(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

272000

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

396000

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.22.4) Please explain

We manage the emissions of our consolidated group companies, including those of our unconsolidated accounting group companies.

All other entities**(7.22.1) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)**

0

(7.22.2) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.3) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.22.4) Please explain

We manage the emissions of our consolidated group companies, including those of our unconsolidated accounting group companies.

[Fixed row]

(7.23) Is your organization able to break down your emissions data for any of the subsidiaries included in your CDP response?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.23.1) Break down your gross Scope 1 and Scope 2 emissions by subsidiary.**Row 1**

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Denko Corporation

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ ISIN code - equity

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.5) ISIN code – equity

JP3684000007

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

690538913

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

164491.55

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

177671.201

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

40211.37

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 2

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NISSHO CORPORATION

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

691057574

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.31

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

643.396

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 3

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO, INC.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

023256705

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2639.59

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1603.921

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

435.02

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 4

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Advanced Nonwoven Ascania GmbH

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

341334529

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5050.34

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10937.225

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 5

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO BELGIUM NV

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

370201394

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16431.81

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2476.022

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 6

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

TAIWAN NITTO OPTICAL CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

658460311

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5800.23

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

7967.182

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6180.2

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 7

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO AVECIA INC.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Health care supplies

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

958183808

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2715.02

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10953.4

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8296.22

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 8

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Advanced Film Gronau GmbH

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

315974022

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5105.09

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16121.225

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 9

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

KOREA NITTO OPTICAL CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

688776132

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

14756.08

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

19499.24

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4172.93

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 10

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

SHENZHEN NITTO OPTICAL CO., LTD

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

527767498

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4092.91

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

17362.247

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 11

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

HYDRANAUTICS

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

059231126

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4315.17

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1750.738

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1750.74

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 12

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO OTOMOTIVE SAN. VE TIC. LTD. STI.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

8.5

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

56.051

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 13

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO AMERICA LATINA LTDA.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

35.19

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

55.176

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 14

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO CZECH S.R.O.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

676.949

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 15

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO MATERIALS (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

550.17

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3156.201

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 16

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO (TAIWAN) CORPORATION

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

10991.25

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18318.136

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16381.53

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 17

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Bento Bantçilik San. ve Tic. A.Ş.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2622.15

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6449.606

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 18

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO AVECIA PHARMA SERVICES INC.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Health care supplies

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

116975565

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18.08

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

574.066

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

574.07

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 19

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO VIETNAM CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Electronic components

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

654580620

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

497.03

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

25610.115

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 20

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO (SHANGHAI SONGJIANG) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

18525.59

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

13030.203

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 21

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO FINE CIRCUIT TECHNOLOGY(SHENZHEN) CO.,LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

544980969

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

194.06

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16438.223

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 22

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO MATEX (THAILAND) CO.,LTD

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

660710604

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1919

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

2138.799

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 23

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO (FOSHAN) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1560.68

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1719.269

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 24

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO INDIA PRIVATE LIMITED

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

27.42

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1084.553

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 25

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO SHINKO CORPORATION

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9036.59

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5368.5

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

21.59

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 26

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITOMS, INC.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Personal care & household products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

690969514

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

190.32

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

3306.502

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 27

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO MATERIAL (THAILAND) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Electronic components

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1608.907

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 28

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Denko Automotive de Mexico S.de R.L.de C.V.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.19

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

87.678

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

87.68

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 29

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO PHILIPPINES CORPORATION

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

208.83

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

287.661

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 30

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO DENKO TAPE MATERIALS (VIETNAM) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

219.077

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 31

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO VIETNAM CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4347.547

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 32

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

PT. NITTO MATERIALS INDONESIA

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

112.9

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

280.526

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 33

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

SHANGHAI NITTO OPTICAL CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ D-U-N-S number

(7.23.1.10) D-U-N-S number

545361029

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5924.505

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5718.46

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 34

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NISSHO HUNGARY PRECISION KFT.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

63.7

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

84.338

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 35

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NISSHO PRECISION (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

9.42

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1400.023

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 36

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NISSHO PRECISION (MALAYSIA) SDN. BHD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

33.44

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

128.555

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 37

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NISSHO PRECISION (THAILAND) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

29.52

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

787.748

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 38

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NISSHO PRECISION PHILIPPINES INCORPORATED

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

26.16

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

76.707

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 39

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

464.845

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 40

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Advanced Components Jackson LLC

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Personal care & household products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

307.81

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

324.606

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 41

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

Nitto Advanced Components Taicang Co., Ltd

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Personal care & household products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6.93

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

429.963

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0.38

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 42

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO MATERIAL TECHNOLOGY (CHENGDU) CO.,LTD

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

4.69

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5004.533

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

5004.54

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 43

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO MATEX (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

16.7

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

6761.437

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 44

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

NITTO SHINKO (SUZHOU)CO.,LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1343.955

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.15) Comment

Calculated per location/company

Row 45

(7.23.1.1) Subsidiary name

SUZHOU NITTO MATEX ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.

(7.23.1.2) Primary activity

Select from:

☒ Plastic products

(7.23.1.3) Select the unique identifier you are able to provide for this subsidiary

Select all that apply

☒ No unique identifier

(7.23.1.12) Scope 1 emissions (metric tons CO2e)

0

(7.23.1.13) Scope 2, location-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

1515.755

(7.23.1.14) Scope 2, market-based emissions (metric tons CO2e)

(7.23.1.15) Comment*Calculated per location/company**[Add row]***(7.29) What percentage of your total operational spend in the reporting year was on energy?***Select from:*☒ More than 0% but less than or equal to 5%**(7.30) Select which energy-related activities your organization has undertaken.**

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstocks)	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired heat	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired steam	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ Yes
Consumption of purchased or acquired cooling	<i>Select from:</i> ☒ No
Generation of electricity, heat, steam, or cooling	<i>Select from:</i>

	Indicate whether your organization undertook this energy-related activity in the reporting year
	☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.1) Report your organization's energy consumption totals (excluding feedstocks) in MWh.

Consumption of fuel (excluding feedstock)

(7.30.1.1) Heating value

Select from:

☒ HHV (higher heating value)

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1051932

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1051932.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired electricity

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

688392

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

193172

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

881564.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired heat

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1049

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1049.00

Consumption of purchased or acquired steam

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

0

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

20955

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

20955.00

Consumption of self-generated non-fuel renewable energy

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

27171

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

27171.00

Total energy consumption

(7.30.1.2) MWh from renewable sources

715563

(7.30.1.3) MWh from non-renewable sources

1267108

(7.30.1.4) MWh from non-renewable zero or near-zero emission sources

0

(7.30.1.5) Total (renewable + non-renewable) MWh

1982671.00

[Fixed row]

(7.30.6) Select the applications of your organization's consumption of fuel.

	Indicate whether your organization undertakes this fuel application
Consumption of fuel for the generation of electricity	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of heat	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for the generation of steam	Select from: ☒ Yes
Consumption of fuel for the generation of cooling	Select from: ☒ No
Consumption of fuel for co-generation or tri-generation	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.30.7) State how much fuel in MWh your organization has consumed (excluding feedstocks) by fuel type.

Sustainable biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

We do not use this fuel.

Other biomass

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

We do not use this fuel.

Other renewable fuels (e.g. renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

We do not use this fuel.

Coal

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

0

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

0

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

We do not use this fuel.

Oil

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

37278

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

4985.73

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

It is used as fuel for vehicles and boilers.

Gas

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1014280

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

303961.69

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

161454

(7.30.7.7) Comment

It is used primarily as fuel for boilers and cogeneration systems.

Other non-renewable fuels (e.g. non-renewable hydrogen)

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

374

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

374.37

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

0

(7.30.7.7) Comment

We do not use this fuel.

Total fuel

(7.30.7.1) Total fuel MWh consumed by the organization

1051932

(7.30.7.3) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of heat

0

(7.30.7.4) MWh fuel consumed for self-generation of steam

309321.79

(7.30.7.6) MWh fuel consumed for self- cogeneration or self-trigeneration

161454

(7.30.7.7) Comment

*This is total.
[Fixed row]*

(7.30.9) Provide details on the electricity, heat, steam, and cooling your organization has generated and consumed in the reporting year.

Electricity

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

83500

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

83500

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

27171

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

27171

Heat

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Steam

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

309322

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

309322

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

Cooling

(7.30.9.1) Total Gross generation (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.2) Generation that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.3) Gross generation from renewable sources (MWh)

0

(7.30.9.4) Generation from renewable sources that is consumed by the organization (MWh)

0

[Fixed row]

(7.30.14) Provide a breakdown by country/area of your electricity/heat/steam/cooling consumption in the reporting year.

Belgium

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1924

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1924.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Brazil

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

50612

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

5523

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1332

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

57467.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Czechia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

1049

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

1049.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Germany

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Hungary

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

168

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

168.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

India

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

995

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

995.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Indonesia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

357

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

357.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Japan

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

324769

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

11254

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

336023.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

38190

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

2029

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

40219.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Malaysia

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

5106

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

870

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

5976.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Mexico

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

239

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

239.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Philippines

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

0.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Taiwan, China

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

45672

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1543

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

47215.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Thailand

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9425

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1600

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

11025.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Türkiye

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

15457

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1138

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

16595.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

United States of America

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

29112

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

1122

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

19623

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

49857.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

Viet Nam

(7.30.14.1) Consumption of purchased electricity (MWh)

9903

(7.30.14.2) Consumption of self-generated electricity (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.3) Is some or all of this electricity consumption excluded from your RE100 commitment?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.14.4) Consumption of purchased heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.5) Consumption of self-generated heat, steam, and cooling (MWh)

0

(7.30.14.6) Total electricity/heat/steam/cooling energy consumption (MWh)

9903.00

(7.30.14.7) Provide details of the electricity consumption excluded

There are no additional notes.

[Fixed row]

(7.30.15) Provide details on the electricity purchases that were accounted for at a zero or near-zero emission factor in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Purchase from an on-site installation owned by a third party (on-site PPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

487.38

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 2

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Indonesia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, and small-scale hydropower

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

356.54

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Indonesia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2014

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 3

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Thailand

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

9425.3

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Thailand

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2015

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 4

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Czechia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Other biomass

(7.30.15.4) Was the renewable fuel co-fired with a fossil fuel?

Select from:

☒ Biomass (mono-fired)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1543.08

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Czechia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2013

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 5

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

74132.74

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 6

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Türkiye

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Geothermal

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

15456.54

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Türkiye

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2015

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 7

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Hungary

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

455.88

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GO [Europe]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Hungary

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 8

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Philippines

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, geothermal

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

524.27

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Philippines

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 9

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, and small-scale hydropower

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

741.61

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 10

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

50403.69

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 11

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

9902.52

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2019

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 12

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Onshore wind

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

16775.22

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 13

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, and small-scale hydropower

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

120

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 14

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Large hydropower (>25 MW)

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2014

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 15

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

7083.64

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2022

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2024

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Longer than 15 years

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 16

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

28501

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Green Premium

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2024

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 17

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Taiwan, China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Physical power purchase agreement (physical PPA) with a grid-connected generator

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

1912.33

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Taiwan, China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 18

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

69384.89

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 19

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

995.06

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ I-REC [International]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2012

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 20

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

32885.77

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ GEC [China]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 21

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

117286.28

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ No EACs redeemed, retired, or cancelled by your organization or on its behalf

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 22

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Financial (virtual) power purchase agreement (VPPA)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

37301.13

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ NFC – Renewable [Japan]

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.30.15.9) Commissioning year of the energy generation facility (e.g. date of first commercial operation or repowering)

2013

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Longer than 15 years

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 23

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

191832.9

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :FIT non-fossil certificate

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ No additional, voluntary label

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 24

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Retail supply contract with an electricity supplier (retail green electricity)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, and small-scale hydropower

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

10877.8

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Green-e

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Green-e Certified(R) Renewable Energy

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

Row 25

(7.30.15.1) Country/area of electricity consumption

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.2) Sourcing method

Select from:

☒ Unbundled procurement of energy attribute certificates (EACs)

(7.30.15.3) Low-carbon technology type

Select from:

☒ Renewable electricity mix, please specify :solar, wind, geothermal, biomass, and small-scale hydropower

(7.30.15.5) Low-carbon electricity consumed via selected sourcing method in the reporting year (MWh)

4900.58

(7.30.15.6) Energy attribute certificate (EAC) tracking system used

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :Green-e

(7.30.15.7) Country/area of origin (generation) of the low-carbon electricity or energy attribute

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.15.8) Are you able to report the commissioning or re-powering year of the electricity generation facility?

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.15.11) Supply arrangement start year

2025

(7.30.15.12) Supply agreement length

Select from:

☒ Year long contract

(7.30.15.13) Ecolabel associated with purchased renewable electricity

Select from:

☒ Green-e Certified(R) Renewable Energy

(7.30.15.14) Comment

The total of renewable energy and non-fossil certificates purchased from electric utilities.

[Add row]

(7.30.18) Provide details of your organization's renewable electricity generation by country/area in the reporting year.

Row 1

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ India

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 2

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Indonesia

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 3

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Thailand

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1.6

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1600

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1600

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 4

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Czechia

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 5

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Germany

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 6

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Türkiye

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1.14

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1138

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1138

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 7

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Hungary

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0.17

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

168

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

168

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 8

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:
☒ Philippines

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:
☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:
☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 9

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Brazil

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 10

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Viet Nam

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 11

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Belgium

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1.92

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1924

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1924

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 12

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Malaysia

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0.87

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

870

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

871

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 13

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Mexico

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

0

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

0

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 14

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

2029

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

2029

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 15

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Taiwan, China

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1.54

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1543

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1543

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 16

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ China

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

5.52

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

5523

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

5523

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 17

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ Japan

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

11.25

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

11254

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

11254

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No

(7.30.18.8) Comment

There are no additional notes.

Row 18

(7.30.18.1) Country/area of generation

Select from:

☒ United States of America

(7.30.18.2) Renewable electricity technology type

Select from:

☒ Solar

(7.30.18.3) Facility capacity (MW)

1.12

(7.30.18.4) Total renewable electricity generated by this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

1122

(7.30.18.5) Renewable electricity consumed by your organization from this facility in the reporting year (MWh)

(7.30.18.6) Energy attribute certificates issued for this generation

Select from:

☒ No**(7.30.18.8) Comment**

There are no additional notes.

*[Add row]***(7.30.19) Describe how your organization's renewable electricity sourcing strategy directly or indirectly contributes to bringing new capacity into the grid in the countries/areas in which you operate.**

The Nitto Group contributes to the implementation of renewable energy throughout society and is working to reduce GHG emissions by converting 100% of the electricity used in its business activities to renewable energy. To promote the introduction of renewable energy, we engage in medium- to long-term procurement by utilizing Power Purchase Agreements (PPAs). Nitto itself aims to procure 200,000 MWh of additional renewable energy by 2030 through corporate PPAs. Going forward, we hope to mainly contribute to increasing new renewable energy in the countries and regions where we operate, with a focus on PPAs.

(7.30.20) In the reporting year, has your organization faced barriers or challenges to sourcing renewable electricity?

	Challenges to sourcing renewable electricity
	Select from: ☒ Yes, in specific countries/areas in which we operate

*[Fixed row]***(7.30.21) Provide details of the country/area-specific challenges to sourcing renewable electricity faced by your organization in the reporting year.**

Row 1

(7.30.21.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Korea (The Republic of)

(7.30.21.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

☒ Limited supply of renewable electricity in the market

(7.30.21.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

Due to the low supply in the overall market, it is difficult to procure a sufficient amount of renewable energy at an appropriate cost.

Row 2

(7.30.21.1) Country/area

Select from:

☒ Taiwan, China

(7.30.21.2) Reason why it was challenging to source renewable electricity within selected country/area

Select all that apply

☒ Limited supply of renewable electricity in the market

(7.30.21.3) Provide additional details of the barriers faced within this country/area

Due to the low supply in the overall market, it is difficult to procure a sufficient amount of renewable energy at an appropriate cost.

[Add row]

(7.45) Describe your gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions for the reporting year in metric tons CO2e per unit currency total revenue and provide any additional intensity metrics that are appropriate to your business operations.

Row 1

(7.45.1) Intensity figure

0.35

(7.45.2) Metric numerator (Gross global combined Scope 1 and 2 emissions, metric tons CO2e)

361000

(7.45.3) Metric denominator

Select from:

☒ unit total revenue

(7.45.4) Metric denominator: Unit total

1028171000000

(7.45.5) Scope 2 figure used

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.45.6) % change from previous year

23.52

(7.45.7) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Decreased

(7.45.8) Reasons for change

Select all that apply

- ☒ Change in renewable energy consumption
- ☒ Other emissions reduction activities

(7.45.9) Please explain

In FY2025, the ratio of renewable electricity was increased from 62% to 79%, and energy-saving measures were implemented to further decarbonize energy use. In addition, greater production efficiency led to an improvement in our intensity figure.

[Add row]

(7.52) Provide any additional climate-related metrics relevant to your business.

Row 1

(7.52.1) Description

Select from:

- ☒ Other, please specify :Resource Utilization Ratio

(7.52.2) Metric value

69

(7.52.3) Metric numerator

Product and recycled material weight

(7.52.4) Metric denominator (intensity metric only)

Raw material weight

(7.52.5) % change from previous year

(7.52.6) Direction of change

Select from:

☒ Increased

(7.52.7) Please explain

In addition to plastics, we have newly established the "Resource utilization ratio" with the aim of promoting the active circular use of a variety of resources. Regarding the increase/decrease in changes, FY25 saw an increase due to the refinement of the calculation method for the weight of some business products.
[Add row]

(7.53) Did you have an emissions target that was active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Absolute target

(7.53.1) Provide details of your absolute emissions targets and progress made against those targets.

Row 1

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 1

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ 1.5°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/05/2024

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

☒ Scope 2

(7.53.1.9) Scope 2 accounting method

Select from:

☒ Market-based

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

03/31/2021

(7.53.1.12) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

363488

(7.53.1.13) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

382241

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

0.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

745729.000

(7.53.1.33) Base year Scope 1 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 1

100

(7.53.1.34) Base year Scope 2 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 2

100

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

100

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

03/31/2031

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

46.33

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

400232.754

(7.53.1.57) Scope 1 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

272000

(7.53.1.58) Scope 2 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

89000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

361000.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

111.36

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

GHG target gases excluding CO2 are excluded because they account for less than 1% of total emissions.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

No manufacturing business can avoid impacting the environment through the use of energy and resources or the emission of CO2 and waste. Meanwhile, climate change and other environmental challenges are rapidly emerging. Working to reduce environmental impact is a social mission for businesses and, as such, we must constantly apply originality and ingenuity. The Nitto Group laid out in the Basic Policy on Environment its intention to aim at zero environmental impact by expanding the scope of its activities from within the Group to cover the entire supply chain and contribute to the realization of a sustainable society as it taps into its proprietary technologies and knowledge thus far accumulated. For this reason, we have set a goal to reduce CO2 emissions across the entire group and are strengthening our efforts.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

In fiscal 2025, the Nitto Group's CO2 emissions (Scope 1 + 2) amounted to 361 ktons, which is far lower than the target amount of 400 ktons. This impressive achievement is attributable to greater use of electricity generated from renewable energy sources, as well as ongoing efforts toward energy conservation and deployment of solvent-free solutions in production processes. In 2026 and beyond, we will continue our initiatives to promote energy conservation and conversion to renewable energy globally. Our efforts here include converting products that contain solvents, which require significant energy for drying and recovery, to solvent-free alternatives, and conserving energy by utilizing waste heat and optimizing production control and planning.

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

Row 2

(7.53.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Abs 2

(7.53.1.2) Is this a science-based target?

Select from:

☒ Yes, and this target has been approved by the Science Based Targets initiative

(7.53.1.3) Science Based Targets initiative official validation letter

FY26_CDP(7.53.1) SBTi 認証 Nitto Denko Corporation - Near-Term Approval Letter - Thursday, 22 August 2024_compressed (1).pdf

(7.53.1.4) Target ambition

Select from:

☒ Well-below 2°C aligned

(7.53.1.5) Date target was set

09/05/2024

(7.53.1.6) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.53.1.7) Greenhouse gases covered by target

Select all that apply

☒ Methane (CH₄)

☒ Nitrous oxide (N₂O)

☒ Carbon dioxide (CO₂)

☒ Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)

☒ Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)

☒ Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆)

☒ Nitrogen trifluoride (NF₃)

(7.53.1.8) Scopes

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 3

(7.53.1.10) Scope 3 categories

Select all that apply

- ☒ Scope 3, Category 1 – Purchased goods and services
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 3 – Fuel- and energy- related activities (not included in Scope 1 or 2)
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 4 – Upstream transportation and distribution
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 5 – Waste generated in operations
- ☒ Scope 3, Category 12 – End-of-life treatment of sold products

(7.53.1.11) End date of base year

03/31/2023

(7.53.1.14) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1267756

(7.53.1.16) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

75884

(7.53.1.17) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

73846

(7.53.1.18) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

21215

(7.53.1.25) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

(7.53.1.31) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1948829.000

(7.53.1.32) Total base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1948829.000

(7.53.1.35) Base year Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services (metric tons CO2e)

81.182

(7.53.1.37) Base year Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.38) Base year Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.39) Base year Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.46) Base year Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products (metric tons CO2e)

100

(7.53.1.52) Base year total Scope 3 emissions covered by target as % of total base year emissions in Scope 3 (in all Scope 3 categories)

78.22

(7.53.1.53) Base year emissions covered by target in all selected Scopes as % of total base year emissions in all selected Scopes

86.896

(7.53.1.54) End date of target

03/31/2031

(7.53.1.55) Targeted reduction from base year (%)

25

(7.53.1.56) Total emissions at end date of target covered by target in all selected Scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1461621.750

(7.53.1.59) Scope 3, Category 1: Purchased goods and services emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1036000

(7.53.1.61) Scope 3, Category 3: Fuel-and-energy-related activities (not included in Scopes 1 or 2) emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

227000

(7.53.1.62) Scope 3, Category 4: Upstream transportation and distribution emissions in reporting year covered by target

(metric tons CO2e)

56000

(7.53.1.63) Scope 3, Category 5: Waste generated in operations emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

120000

(7.53.1.70) Scope 3, Category 12: End-of-life treatment of sold products emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

174000

(7.53.1.76) Total Scope 3 emissions in reporting year covered by target (metric tons CO2e)

1613000.000

(7.53.1.77) Total emissions in reporting year covered by target in all selected scopes (metric tons CO2e)

1613000.000

(7.53.1.78) Land-related emissions covered by target

Select from:

☒ No, it does not cover any land-related emissions (e.g. non-FLAG SBT)

(7.53.1.79) % of target achieved relative to base year

68.93

(7.53.1.80) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.53.1.82) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

Categories 1, 3, 4, 5, and 12 were selected as categories related to business activities. These combined emissions account for 78% of the total Scope 3 emissions and meet the SBT criteria. However, since indirect procurement in Category 1 can only be tracked on a monetary basis, it is excluded from the target for the objective.

(7.53.1.83) Target objective

No manufacturing business can avoid impacting the environment through the use of energy and resources or the emission of CO2 and waste. Meanwhile, climate change and other environmental challenges are rapidly emerging. Working to reduce environmental impact is a social mission for businesses and, as such, we must constantly apply originality and ingenuity. The Nitto Group laid out in the Basic Policy on Environment its intention to aim at zero environmental impact by expanding the scope of its activities from within the Group to cover the entire supply chain and contribute to the realization of a sustainable society as it taps into its proprietary technologies and knowledge thus far accumulated. For this reason, we have set a goal to reduce CO2 emissions across the entire group and are strengthening our efforts.

(7.53.1.84) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

Plans to achieve the targets. Category 01: 1) Collecting detailed raw material information from overseas sites and domestic group companies, 2) Switching from secondary to primary data, 3) Switching to low-carbon materials. Category 03: 1) Switching to renewable energy Category 04: 1) Grasping detailed logistics information, 2) Improving logistics efficiency Category 05: 1) Reducing the amount of waste by improving yield, 2) Recycling waste Category 12: 1) Grasping detailed product weight information, 2) Understanding the disposal methods of post-use products Progress achieved by the end of the reporting year Category 01: 1) Building and updating our collection system, 2) 3) Drafting a switching schedule and considering switching strategy Category 03: 1) Switching completed as planned Category 04: 1) 2) Planning completed Category 05: 1) 2) Planning completed Category 12: 1) 2) Planning completed

(7.53.1.85) Target derived using a sectoral decarbonization approach

Select from:

☒ No

[Add row]

(7.54) Did you have any other climate-related targets that were active in the reporting year?

Select all that apply

☒ Other climate-related targets

(7.54.2) Provide details of any other climate-related targets, including methane reduction targets.

Row 1

(7.54.2.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Oth 1

(7.54.2.2) Date target was set

05/19/2022

(7.54.2.3) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Organization-wide

(7.54.2.4) Target type: absolute or intensity

Select from:

☒ Absolute

(7.54.2.5) Target type: category & metric (target numerator if reporting an intensity target)

Net emissions target

☒ Net metric tons CO2e

(7.54.2.7) End date of base year

03/31/2021

(7.54.2.8) Figure or percentage in base year

746000

(7.54.2.9) End date of target

03/31/2051

(7.54.2.10) Figure or percentage at end of date of target

0

(7.54.2.11) Figure or percentage in reporting year

361000

(7.54.2.12) % of target achieved relative to base year

51.6085790885

(7.54.2.13) Target status in reporting year

Select from:

☒ Underway

(7.54.2.15) Is this target part of an emissions target?

Yes, some of them.

(7.54.2.16) Is this target part of an overarching initiative?

Select all that apply

☒ No, it's not part of an overarching initiative

(7.54.2.18) Please explain target coverage and identify any exclusions

The target scope covers Scope 1 and Scope 2 for all manufacturing and processing sites belonging to the Nitto Group. As such, Scope 1, 2 and Scope 3 for sites that do not engage in manufacturing and processing are excluded items.

(7.54.2.19) Target objective

Climate change caused by global warming is a major issue for all humankind, which needs to be resolved in order to pass on a better global environment to future generations. Reducing CO2 emissions is essential for the Nitto Group to achieve sustainable growth and for the realization of a sustainable environment and society, which we consider to be an important social responsibility.

(7.54.2.20) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year

We aim to achieve effectively zero CO2 emissions (Scope 1+2) by 2050, and have set an interim target of 400,000 tons of CO2 emissions for 2030. CO2 emissions in 2025 were 361,000 tons, a reduction of approximately 51% relative to the base year, and emissions reductions have already achieved our goal for 2030, but we will continue our initiatives to promote energy conservation and conversion to renewable energy globally to achieve our goal for 2050 of zero CO2 emissions.
[Add row]

(7.55) Did you have emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year? Note that this can include those in the planning and/or implementation phases.

	Emissions reduction initiatives that were active within the reporting year
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(7.55.1) Identify the total number of initiatives at each stage of development, and for those in the implementation stages, the estimated CO2e savings.

	Number of initiatives	Total estimated annual CO2e savings in metric tonnes CO2e
Under investigation	99	
To be implemented	12	1393
Implementation commenced	11	2324
Implemented	105	11499
Not to be implemented	0	

[Fixed row]

(7.55.2) Provide details on the initiatives implemented in the reporting year in the table below.

Row 1

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Heating, Ventilation and Air Conditioning (HVAC)

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

3334

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

136797000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

1726950666

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

The effects of installing or upgrading air conditioning systems are described.

Row 2

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in buildings

☒ Lighting

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur*Select all that apply*☒ Scope 2 (location-based)**(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory***Select from:*☒ Voluntary**(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)**

18378000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

298538542

(7.55.2.7) Payback period*Select from:*☒ >25 years**(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative***Select from:*☒ 1-2 years**(7.55.2.9) Comment***The effects of installing or upgrading lighting are described.***Row 3**

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Waste heat recovery

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

1482

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

60815000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

112130615

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 21-25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

The effects of installing or upgrading waste heat recovery equipment are described.

Row 4

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Compressed air

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

311

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 2 (location-based)

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

12738000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

(7.55.2.7) Payback period*Select from:*☒ >25 years**(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative***Select from:*☒ 1-2 years**(7.55.2.9) Comment***The effects of installing or upgrading compressed air equipment are described.***Row 5****(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type**

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Machine/equipment replacement**(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)**

973

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur*Select all that apply*☒ Scope 2 (location-based)**(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory**

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

39682000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

828493106

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ >25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

The effects of installing or upgrading other mechanical equipment are described.

Row 6

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Electrification

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur*Select all that apply*☒ Scope 1**(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory***Select from:*☒ Voluntary**(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)**

927000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

26553400

(7.55.2.7) Payback period*Select from:*☒ 4-10 years**(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative***Select from:*☒ 1-2 years**(7.55.2.9) Comment***The effects of electrification are described.***Row 7**

(7.55.2.1) Initiative category & Initiative type

Energy efficiency in production processes

☒ Process optimization

(7.55.2.2) Estimated annual CO₂e savings (metric tonnes CO₂e)

4920

(7.55.2.3) Scope(s) or Scope 3 category(ies) where emissions savings occur

Select all that apply

☒ Scope 1

(7.55.2.4) Voluntary/Mandatory

Select from:

☒ Voluntary

(7.55.2.5) Annual monetary savings (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

44003000

(7.55.2.6) Investment required (unit currency – as specified in 1.2)

307498570

(7.55.2.7) Payback period

Select from:

☒ 21-25 years

(7.55.2.8) Estimated lifetime of the initiative

Select from:

☒ 1-2 years

(7.55.2.9) Comment

The effects of optimizing the process are described.

[Add row]

(7.55.3) What methods do you use to drive investment in emissions reduction activities?

Row 1

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for energy efficiency

(7.55.3.2) Comment

It was against this backdrop that in fiscal 2022 the Nitto Group made the Carbon Neutrality Declaration to accelerate its drive to reduce CO2 emissions. Toward the self-set goal of achieving carbon neutrality by 2050, we have updated our 2030 target to 400,000 tons/year, which represents a 46% reduction from fiscal 2020 for the entire Group. Working toward this ambitious target, we will take a more strategic approach to making a decarbonized society a reality. To realize our carbon neutral initiative, we have set aside a total of 80.0 billion yen for investments in social and environmental sustainability by fiscal 2030. For example, we are implementing a variety of programs, including the promotion of energy conservation in various manufacturing processes, the elimination of CO2 emitted while combusting solvent gases by making such processes solvent-free, and the introduction of solar power generation systems.

Row 2

(7.55.3.1) Method

Select from:

☒ Dedicated budget for other emissions reduction activities

(7.55.3.2) Comment

It was against this backdrop that in fiscal 2022 the Nitto Group made the Carbon Neutrality Declaration to accelerate its drive to reduce CO2 emissions. Toward the self-set goal of achieving carbon neutrality by 2050, we have updated our 2030 target to 400,000 tons/year, which represents a 46% reduction from fiscal 2020 for the entire Group. Working toward this ambitious target, we will take a more strategic approach to making a decarbonized society a reality. To realize our carbon neutral initiative, we have set aside a total of 80.0 billion yen for investments in social and environmental sustainability by fiscal 2030. For example, we are implementing a variety of programs, including the promotion of energy conservation in various manufacturing processes, the elimination of CO2 emitted while combusting solvent gases by making such processes solvent-free, and the introduction of solar power generation systems.

[Add row]

(7.74) Do you classify any of your existing goods and/or services as low-carbon products?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.74.1) Provide details of your products and/or services that you classify as low-carbon products.

Row 1

(7.74.1.1) Level of aggregation

Select from:

☒ Product or service

(7.74.1.2) Taxonomy used to classify product(s) or service(s) as low-carbon

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :simple-LCA (Cradle to Grave), Classified based on our own standards which are based on existing standards

(7.74.1.3) Type of product(s) or service(s)

Power

☒ Other power, please specify :PlanetFlags™ products

(7.74.1.4) Description of product(s) or service(s)

The Nitto Group visualizes the environmental contributions of the products and services it produces, and certifies those with particularly high levels of contribution as the PlanetFlags™ products.

(7.74.1.5) Have you estimated the avoided emissions of this low-carbon product(s) or service(s)

Select from:

☒ Yes

(7.74.1.6) Methodology used to calculate avoided emissions

Select from:

☒ Other, please specify :s-LCA

(7.74.1.7) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the low-carbon product(s) or services(s)

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-grave

(7.74.1.8) Functional unit used

CO2 emissions (ton)

(7.74.1.9) Reference product/service or baseline scenario used

Existing standard products and services

(7.74.1.10) Life cycle stage(s) covered for the reference product/service or baseline scenario

Select from:

☒ Cradle-to-grave

(7.74.1.11) Estimated avoided emissions (metric tons CO2e per functional unit) compared to reference product/service or baseline scenario

164.5

(7.74.1.12) Explain your calculation of avoided emissions, including any assumptions

Energy-saving RO membranes for wastewater recovery are one of the PlanetFlags™ products. Use of low-carbon products can reduce CO2 emissions by 164.5 tons per year compared to existing products.

(7.74.1.13) Revenue generated from low-carbon product(s) or service(s) as % of total revenue in the reporting year

0.14

[Add row]

(7.79) Has your organization retired any project-based carbon credits within the reporting year?

Select from:

☒ No

C9. Environmental performance - Water security

(9.1) Are there any exclusions from your disclosure of water-related data?

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.1.1) Provide details on these exclusions.

Row 1

(9.1.1.1) Exclusion

Select from:

☒ Facilities

(9.1.1.2) Description of exclusion

We exclude domestic and overseas group companies that do not involve manufacturing, such as sales and marketing offices, because their water use is negligible.

(9.1.1.3) Reason for exclusion

Select from:

☒ Water used for internal WASH services

(9.1.1.4) Primary reason why data is not collected and/or reported

Select from:

☒ Judged to be unimportant or not relevant

(9.1.1.7) Country/area and river basin of exclusion

Japan

☒ Yodo

United States of America

☒ Other, please specify :San Luis Rey River Watershed, Los Peñasquitos Watershed

(9.1.1.8) Estimated volume of water the exclusion represents (megaliters)

80000

(9.1.1.9) Estimated % of total water

1

(9.1.1.10) Please explain

We exclude domestic and overseas group companies that do not involve manufacturing, such as sales and marketing offices, because their water use is negligible. The exclusion of water withdrawal volume is calculated as typical domestic water consumption × the total number of personnel at the excluded sites × operating days.
[Add row]

(9.2) Across all your operations, what proportion of the following water aspects are regularly measured and monitored?

Water withdrawals – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We obtain data once a month by instruments.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We ascertain the volume of water withdrawal at our main production facilities. [Frequency] Third party sources: Data obtained once a month. Ground water: Measurements taken in real-time, aggregated by environmental departments once a month.

Water withdrawals – volumes by source

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We obtain data once a month by instruments.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We ascertain water withdrawal volumes by source at our main production facilities. [Frequency] Third party sources: Data obtained once a month. Ground water: Measurements taken in real-time, aggregated by environmental departments once a month.

Water withdrawals quality

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a quarter

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We measure water quality such as CaCO₃ once every two months using analytical equipment and test kits.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We confirm water quality before use in equipment at our main production facilities. [Frequency] Ground water: Once per day to once per year or more Frequency varies based on purpose, water quality, and process.

Water discharges – total volumes

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We use a scale to measure the volume of wastewater once an hour. In addition, the amount of wastewater discharged is counted monthly.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We measure discharge volumes by destination at our main production facilities. [Frequency] Every hour for internal measurements. For measurements by other

companies, we receive data once a month.

Water discharges – volumes by destination

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We use a scale to measure the volume of wastewater once an hour. In addition, the amount of wastewater discharged is counted monthly.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We measure discharge volumes by destination at our main production facilities. [Frequency] Every hour for internal measurements. For measurements by other companies, we receive data once a month.

Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We use a scale to measure the volume of wastewater once an hour. In addition, the amount of wastewater discharged is counted monthly.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We measure discharge volumes by destination at our main production facilities. [Frequency] Every hour for internal measurements. For measurements by other companies, we receive data once a month.

Water discharge quality – by standard effluent parameters

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We constantly measure key water quality parameters such as pH and temperature using instruments.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurements are taken at facilities where measuring is legally prescribed. [Frequency] Hourly to annually, depending on the item inspected according to statutory requirements in each country: Main production facilities meet effluent parameters requirements mandated by relevant countries' laws and regulations.

Water discharge quality – emissions to water (nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and/or other priority substances)

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We measure water quality about nitrogen and phosphorus content, once every three months using analytical equipment and test kits.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurements are taken at facilities where measuring is legally prescribed. [Frequency] Hourly to annually, depending on the item inspected according to statutory requirements in each country: Main production facilities meet effluent parameters requirements mandated by relevant countries' laws and regulations.

Water discharge quality – temperature

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ Continuously

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We constantly measure key water quality parameters such as pH and temperature using instruments.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Measurements are taken at facilities where measuring is legally prescribed. [Frequency] Hourly to annually, depending on the item inspected according to statutory

requirements in each country: Main production facilities meet effluent parameters requirements mandated by relevant countries' laws and regulations.

Water consumption – total volume

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

Calculate by subtracting water discharge from water withdrawals.

(9.2.4) Please explain

We ascertain water consumption at our main production facilities. Since water is not used as a raw material, consumption refers to evaporation in the production process.

Water recycled/reused

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 76-99

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a month

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We measure the amount of water recycled each month using a scale.

(9.2.4) Please explain

At main our production facilities, we engage in water recycling and ascertain the volume of recycled water. [Frequency] Measurements taken in real-time, aggregated by environmental departments once a month.

The provision of fully-functioning, safely managed WASH services to all workers

(9.2.1) % of sites/facilities/operations

Select from:

☒ 100%

(9.2.2) Frequency of measurement

Select from:

☒ At least once a year

(9.2.3) Method of measurement

We measure the quality of the water provided to our employees annually.

(9.2.4) Please explain

Occupational health initiatives are implemented at all facilities, providing all employees with safe water and amenities. [Frequency] Once a year; in some cases, once every 2 to 3 years.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.2) What are the total volumes of water withdrawn, discharged, and consumed across all your operations, how do they compare to the previous reporting year, and how are they forecasted to change?

Total withdrawals

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

6109

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." From FY2024 to FY2025, the total withdrawals decreased from 6,144 kton to 6,109 kton, which is a change of approximately -0.6% change. Therefore we choose "about the same" as our answer.

Total discharges

(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year*Select from:*☒ Higher**(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year***Select from:*☒ Increase/decrease in business activity**(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast***Select from:*☒ Lower**(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast***Select from:*☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process**(9.2.2.6) Please explain**

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." From FY2024 to FY2025, the total discharges decrease from 4,960 kton to 5,124 kton, which is a change of approximately +3.3% change. Therefore we choose "higher" as our answer.

Total consumption**(9.2.2.1) Volume (megaliters)**

986

(9.2.2.2) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.2.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.2.4) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.2.5) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ Investment in water-smart technology/process

(9.2.2.6) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." From FY2024 to FY2025, the total consumption decrease from 1,184 kton to 986 kton, which is a change of approximately -16.7% change. Therefore we choose "much lower" as our answer.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.4) Indicate whether water is withdrawn from areas with water stress, provide the volume, how it compares with the previous reporting year, and how it is forecasted to change.

(9.2.4.1) Withdrawals are from areas with water stress

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.2.4.2) Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

366

(9.2.4.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Much lower

(9.2.4.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.4.5) Five-year forecast

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.4.6) Primary reason for forecast

Select from:

☒ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.4.7) % of total withdrawals that are withdrawn from areas with water stress

5.99

(9.2.4.8) Identification tool

Identification tool used

☒ WRI Aqueduct

(9.2.4.9) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." The amount in fiscal 2024 was 499 megaliters. The amount in fiscal 2025 was 17% lower than last year, so we choose "much lower". We aim to reduce water withdrawal by implementing measures to decrease water use.
[Fixed row]

(9.2.7) Provide total water withdrawal data by source.

Fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

None of our facilities use fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes. The reason is that none of our factories have been constructed in a location that enables withdrawals from fresh surface water, including rainwater, water from wetlands, rivers, and lakes. Our factories use utility water or groundwater.

Brackish surface water/Seawater

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

None of our facilities use brackish surface water/seawater. The reason is that none of our factories have been constructed in a location that enables withdrawals from brackish surface water/seawater. Our factories use utility water or groundwater.

Groundwater – renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

2282

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Lower

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." The amount in fiscal 2024 was 2,353 megaliters. The amount in fiscal 2025 was 3% lower than last year, so we choose "about the same". Groundwater (renewable) is an important source of freshwater used mainly for cooling purposes by domestic group companies, especially in production plants located inland. In these areas, groundwater (renewable) is used due to the limited amount of water that can be supplied by third parties.

Groundwater – non-renewable

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

None of our facilities use groundwater – non-renewable. The reason is that we are not part of the oil and natural gas sector, and do not have an oil and natural gas extraction business, therefore we do not carry out withdrawals of groundwater (non-renewable). This category thus is not relevant to our business. We have no plans

to carry out withdrawals in the future.

Produced/Entrained water

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

None of our facilities use produced water. The reason is that there is no possibility that group companies in Japan and other countries will ever extract or use produced water since such water cannot adequately provide the water quality and volume that would satisfy our required standards. This category is thus not relevant to our business. We have no plans to carry out withdrawals in the future.

Third party sources

(9.2.7.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.7.2) Volume (megaliters)

3828

(9.2.7.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.7.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.7.5) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." The amount in fiscal 2024 was 3,791 megaliters. The amount in fiscal 2025 was 1% higher than last year, so we choose "about the same". Along with groundwater (renewable), third-party water sources are an important source of freshwater for domestic and overseas group companies. Third-party water sources are supplied by public agencies contracted by local governments. Water supplied by third parties is used as washing water, rinsing water, steam, and cooling water during product manufacturing, and as a raw material for pure water and other substances used in the manufacturing process.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.8) Provide total water discharge data by destination.

Fresh surface water

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

2962

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ No/minimal change in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." The amount in fiscal 2024 was 2,939 megaliters. The amount in fiscal 2025 was 0.7% higher than last year, so we choose "almost the same". At production sites with large volumes of freshwater withdrawal, mainly group companies in Japan, wastewater treatment facilities are installed on site, and wastewater is discharged into public waters after treatment. At these production sites, the water quality of the discharged water is controlled and the amount of water discharged is measured in accordance.

Brackish surface water/seawater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

None of our facilities discharge brackish surface water/seawater. This is because discharges are only to fresh surface water and third-party discharges.

Groundwater

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Not relevant

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

None of our facilities discharge groundwater. This is because discharges are only to brackish surface water/seawater and third-party discharges.

Third-party destinations

(9.2.8.1) Relevance

Select from:

☒ Relevant

(9.2.8.2) Volume (megaliters)

2162

(9.2.8.3) Comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.8.4) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.8.5) Please explain

We evaluate as "much higher/lower" in cases where the change is more than 10%. We evaluate as "higher/lower" in cases where the change is 2% to 10%. If the change is less than 2%, we evaluate as "about the same." The amount in fiscal 2024 was 2,021 megaliters. The amount in fiscal 2025 was 7% higher than last year so we choose "higher". At production sites in Japan and other countries where production volume is relatively small and freshwater withdrawal volume is low, water is discharged to third-party discharge locations operated by local governments and other bodies. The volume of water discharged is measured for use when calculating water treatment charges.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.9) Indicate the highest level to which your wastewater is treated (either by your organization or a third party) before being discharged to the environment.

Quaternary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We discharge the water used in the manufacturing and processing of our products to a secondary treatment before discharging it into the river. Based on the water

quality assessment results, tertiary and quaternary treatment have been determined to be unnecessary. Therefore, this treatment method is not applicable to our operations.

Tertiary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We discharge the water used in the manufacturing and processing of our products to a secondary treatment before discharging it into the river. Based on the water quality assessment results, tertiary and quaternary treatment have been determined to be unnecessary. Therefore, this treatment method is not applicable to our operations.

Secondary treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

2962

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ About the same

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in efficiency

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☒ 91-99

(9.2.9.5) Factors considered in determining appropriate treatment level beyond local regulatory standards

Select all that apply

☒ Internally developed water quality standards or guidelines

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We discharge the water used in the manufacturing and processing of our products to a secondary treatment before discharging it into the river. Based on the water quality assessment results, tertiary and quaternary treatment have been determined to be unnecessary. The discharge of secondary-treated water for the reporting year was 2,962 megaliters, almost the same as the previous fiscal year's discharge of 2,939 megaliters.

Primary treatment only

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We discharge the water used in the manufacturing and processing of our products to a secondary treatment before discharging it into the river. The reason for discharging water at this level of treatment is to meet local regulatory requirements. In addition, we adhere to internal voluntary regulations regarding wastewater treatment, which are stricter than local government regulations. The discharge of secondary-treated water for the reporting year was 2,962 megaliters, almost the same as the previous fiscal year's discharge of 2,939 megaliters.

No treatment

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

2162

(9.2.9.2) Comparison of treated volume with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Higher

(9.2.9.3) Primary reason for comparison with previous reporting year

Select from:

☒ Increase/decrease in business activity

(9.2.9.4) % of discharge volume treated in compliance with local regulatory standards

Select from:

☒ 91-99

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We use water for drinking water and sanitation services. Water used for drinking water and sanitation services is discharged to third party water utility without treatment. The reason for discharging at this level of treatment is to meet regulatory requirements. In addition, the Company adheres to internal self-imposed regulations regarding wastewater treatment, which are more stringent than the regulatory requirements. The amount of water discharged untreated to third parties was 2,162 megaliters, higher than the previous fiscal year's discharge of 2,021 megaliters.

Unknown

(9.2.9.1) Volume (megaliters)

0

(9.2.9.6) Please explain

We discharge the water used in the manufacturing and processing of our products to a secondary treatment before discharging it into the river. Therefore, this item is not applicable to our operations.

[Fixed row]

(9.2.10) Provide details of your organization's emissions of nitrates, phosphates, pesticides, and other priority substances to water in the reporting year.

(9.2.10.1) Emissions to water in the reporting year (metric tons)

0

(9.2.10.2) Categories of substances included

Select all that apply

☒ Nitrates

☒ Phosphates

(9.2.10.4) Please explain

In compliance with laws and regulations, levels of nitrogen and phosphorus content, COD, etc. are controlled, and all wastewater is treated appropriately. Therefore, there is no wastewater containing nitrates and phosphates above the standard values.

[Fixed row]

(9.3) In your direct operations and upstream value chain, what is the number of facilities where you have identified substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities?

Direct operations

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Risks include damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, plant shutdowns due to flood or high tides, as well as plant shutdowns due to damage to major suppliers. In addition, revenues are expected to decline due to lost opportunities. However, the financial impact in 2030 is considered to be moderate, and while environmental risks exist, there are none with the potential to have a substantial effect on our organization. Therefore, no facility has identified substantial water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, or opportunities.

Upstream value chain

(9.3.1) Identification of facilities in the value chain stage

Select from:

☒ No, we have assessed this value chain stage but did not identify any facilities with substantive water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, and opportunities

(9.3.4) Please explain

Risks include damage to the company's buildings, facilities, infrastructure, plant shutdowns due to flood or high tides, as well as plant shutdowns due to damage to major suppliers. In addition, revenues are expected to decline due to lost opportunities. However, the financial impact in 2030 is considered to be moderate, and while environmental risks exist, there are none with the potential to have a substantial effect on our organization. Therefore, no facility has identified substantial water-related dependencies, impacts, risks, or opportunities.

[Fixed row]

(9.4) Could any of your facilities reported in 9.3.1 have an impact on a requesting CDP supply chain member?

Select from:

☒ No facilities were reported in 9.3.1

(9.5) Provide a figure for your organization's total water withdrawal efficiency.

(9.5.1) Revenue (currency)

1028171

(9.5.2) Total water withdrawal efficiency

168.30

(9.5.3) Anticipated forward trend

Water withdrawal will be reduced by promoting water recycling at sites that use large volumes of water and by implementing measures to reduce water consumption. Accordingly, total water withdrawal efficiency can be expected to increase.

[Fixed row]

(9.13) Do any of your products contain substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

	Products contain hazardous substances
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(9.13.1) What percentage of your company's revenue is associated with products containing substances classified as hazardous by a regulatory authority?

Row 1

(9.13.1.1) Regulatory classification of hazardous substances

Select from:

☒ EU Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) Regulation

(9.13.1.2) % of revenue associated with products containing substances in this list

Select from:

☒ Less than 10%

(9.13.1.3) Please explain

The substances designated by the EU's Persistent Organic Pollutants (POPs) regulations are considered as pollutants. The Nitto Group has no product containing these substances, making up 0% of the total sales of the Nitto Group.

[Add row]

(9.14) Do you classify any of your current products and/or services as low water impact?

(9.14.1) Products and/or services classified as low water impact

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.14.2) Value chain stage where low water impact is assessed

Select all that apply

☒ Downstream value chain

(9.14.3) Indicator used to assess water impact

Water quantity

☒ Lower water intensity than conventional products/services

☒ Other water quantity indicator used, please specify :Reduced water withdrawal and water consumption compared with conventional processes

Water quality

☒ Lower water pollution than conventional products/services

☒ Other water quality indicator used, please specify :Reduced water pollution compared with conventional processes

(9.14.4) Have you used a certification standard to classify your products/services as low water impact?

Select from:

☒ Yes, please specify standard :PlanetFlags™

(9.14.5) Definition used to classify low water impact

The Nitto Group visualizes the environmental contributions, including those related to water, of the products and services it produces, and certifies those with particularly high levels of contribution as PlanetFlags™ products.

(9.14.7) Please explain

RO membranes for ZLD (Zero Liquid Discharge) are certified as PlanetFlags™ product. The Nitto Group's RO (reverse osmosis) membranes are being adopted for a wide range of applications due to their ability to recycle wastewater at lower costs and with less energy and fewer CO2 emissions than the traditional evaporation method. As effluents from plants increase throughout the world, particularly in recent years, causing pollution and drought, some countries and regions are setting effluent limits or tightening relevant laws and regulations. Much is expected in this regard from the ZLD wastewater recycling system, which uses RO membranes to recover all effluents without discharging them to external ecosystems. RO membranes designed for ZLD are superior to standard RO membranes in terms of resistance to contamination and high-pressure treatment, and thus provide an optimal membrane technology solution for effluent treatment as it becomes increasingly difficult and diversified.

[Fixed row]

(9.15) Indicate whether you have targets relating to water pollution, water withdrawals, WASH, or other water-related categories.

Water pollution

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Primary reason for not setting a target in this category

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(9.15.4) Explain why you do not have a target set in this category

Regardless of the degree of dependence and impact on, or the scale of risks and opportunities related to nature, we consider it the responsibility of a company to minimize negative impacts on nature, and we are studying countermeasures accordingly.

Water withdrawals

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ Yes

(9.15.2) Science-based target set in this target category according to SBTN guidance

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to in the next two years

Water, Sanitation, and Hygiene (WASH) services

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, but we plan to within the next two years

(9.15.3) Primary reason for not setting a target in this category

Select from:

☒ Not an immediate strategic priority

(9.15.4) Explain why you do not have a target set in this category

Regardless of the degree of dependence and impact on, or the scale of risks and opportunities related to nature, we consider it the responsibility of a company to minimize negative impacts on nature, and we are studying countermeasures accordingly.

Other

(9.15.1) Target set in this category

Select from:

☒ No, and we do not plan to within the next two years

[Fixed row]

(9.15.1) Provide details of your water-related targets and the progress made.

Row 1

(9.15.1.1) Target reference number

Select from:

☒ Water target 1

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☒ Increase in water use met through recycling/reuse

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

03/31/2026

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

03/31/2026

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

154

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

03/31/2031

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

154

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year*Select from:*☒ New**(9.15.1.11) % of target achieved relative to base year**

0

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6**(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions***The scope covers the water used at the Kameyama Plant.***(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year***Consideration of introducing water recycling equipment***(9.15.1.18) Further details of target***Water recycled volume at a priority site (Kameyama Site) (kton/yr)***Row 2****(9.15.1.1) Target reference number**

Select from:

☒ Water target 2

(9.15.1.2) Target coverage

Select from:

☒ Site/facility

(9.15.1.3) Category of target & Quantitative metric

Water withdrawals

☒ Increase in water use met through recycling/reuse

(9.15.1.4) Date target was set

03/31/2026

(9.15.1.5) End date of base year

03/31/2026

(9.15.1.6) Base year figure

83

(9.15.1.7) End date of target year

03/31/2031

(9.15.1.8) Target year figure

100

(9.15.1.9) Reporting year figure

(9.15.1.10) Target status in reporting year*Select from:*☒ New**(9.15.1.11) % of target achieved relative to base year**

0

(9.15.1.12) Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

Global environmental treaties/initiatives/frameworks aligned with or supported by this target

☒ Sustainable Development Goal 6**(9.15.1.13) Explain target coverage and identify any exclusions***The scope covers the water used in the manufacturing processes at the Shiga Plant.***(9.15.1.14) Plan for achieving target, and progress made to the end of the reporting year***Consideration of a mechanism to improve the water recycling ratio***(9.15.1.18) Further details of target***Recycled water utilization ratio in the manufacturing processes at a priority site (Shiga Site)**[Add row]*

C11. Environmental performance - Biodiversity

(11.2) What actions has your organization taken in the reporting year to progress your biodiversity-related commitments?

(11.2.1) Actions taken in the reporting period to progress your biodiversity-related commitments

Select from:
☒ Yes, we are taking actions to progress our biodiversity-related commitments

(11.2.2) Type of action taken to progress biodiversity- related commitments

Select all that apply
☒ Education & awareness
☒ Law & policy
[Fixed row]

(11.3) Does your organization use biodiversity indicators to monitor performance across its activities?

	Does your organization use indicators to monitor biodiversity performance?	Indicators used to monitor biodiversity performance
	Select from: ☒ Yes, we use indicators	Select all that apply ☒ State and benefit indicators

[Fixed row]

(11.4) Does your organization have activities located in or near to areas important for biodiversity in the reporting year?

Legally protected areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ No

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of the LEAP assessment, an overlay analysis was conducted between our operational sites and areas of importance for biodiversity. Based on the results of this assessment, no operational sites were identified as being located within or in proximity to areas of importance for biodiversity.

UNESCO World Heritage sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ No

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of the LEAP assessment, an overlay analysis was conducted between our operational sites and areas of importance for biodiversity. Based on the results of this assessment, no operational sites were identified as being located within or in proximity to areas of importance for biodiversity.

UNESCO Man and the Biosphere Reserves

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ No

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of the LEAP assessment, an overlay analysis was conducted between our operational sites and areas of importance for biodiversity. Based on the results of this assessment, no operational sites were identified as being located within or in proximity to areas of importance for biodiversity.

Ramsar sites

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ No

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of the LEAP assessment, an overlay analysis was conducted between our operational sites and areas of importance for biodiversity. Based on the results of this assessment, no operational sites were identified as being located within or in proximity to areas of importance for biodiversity.

Key Biodiversity Areas

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for biodiversity

Select from:

☒ No

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of the LEAP assessment, an overlay analysis was conducted between our operational sites and areas of importance for biodiversity. Based on the results of this assessment, no operational sites were identified as being located within or in proximity to areas of importance for biodiversity.

Other areas important for biodiversity

(11.4.1) Indicate whether any of your organization's activities are located in or near to this type of area important for

biodiversity

Select from:

☒ No

(11.4.2) Comment

As part of the LEAP assessment, an overlay analysis was conducted between our operational sites and areas of importance for biodiversity. Based on the results of this assessment, no operational sites were identified as being located within or in proximity to areas of importance for biodiversity.

[Fixed row]

C13. Further information & sign off

(13.1) Indicate if any environmental information included in your CDP response (not already reported in 7.9.1/2/3, 8.9.1/2/3/4, and 9.3.2) is verified and/or assured by a third party?

	Other environmental information included in your CDP response is verified and/or assured by a third party
	Select from: ☒ Yes

[Fixed row]

(13.1.1) Which data points within your CDP response are verified and/or assured by a third party, and which standards were used?

Row 1

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

☒ Climate change

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Climate change

☒ Waste data

☒ Fuel consumption

☒ Base year emissions

☒ Emissions breakdown by business division

☒ Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption

☒ Renewable Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling generation

- ☒ Emissions breakdown by country/area
- ☒ Energy attribute certificates (EACs)
- ☒ Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 1 and 2)
- ☒ Year on year change in absolute emissions (Scope 3)
- ☒ Renewable Electricity/Steam/Heat/Cooling consumption

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

- ☒ ISAE 3000
- ☒ ISAE 3410

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Interviewing the Company's responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for preparing the Databook and reviewing the Company's reporting criteria. Inquiring about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators. Performing analytical procedures on the Indicators. Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company's reporting criteria, and recalculating the Indicators. Visiting one of the Company's subsidiaries selected on the basis of a risk analysis. Evaluating the overall presentation of the Indicators.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

FY26_CDP レター_NittoDenko.pdf

Row 2

(13.1.1.1) Environmental issue for which data has been verified and/or assured

Select all that apply

- ☒ Water

(13.1.1.2) Disclosure module and data verified and/or assured

Environmental performance – Water security

- ☒ Water consumption– total volume
- ☒ Water discharges– total volumes
- ☒ Water discharges – volumes by destination
- ☒ Water discharges – volumes by treatment method

- ☒ Water withdrawals– total volumes
- ☒ Water withdrawals – volumes by source
- ☒ Emissions to water in the reporting year

- ☒ Volume withdrawn from areas with water stress (megaliters)

(13.1.1.3) Verification/assurance standard

General standards

- ☒ ISAE 3000

(13.1.1.4) Further details of the third-party verification/assurance process

Interviewing the Company's responsible personnel to obtain an understanding of its policy for preparing the Databook and reviewing the Company's reporting criteria. Inquiring about the design of the systems and methods used to collect and process the Indicators. Performing analytical procedures on the Indicators. Examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the generation, aggregation and reporting of the Indicators in conformity with the Company's reporting criteria, and recalculating the Indicators. Visiting one of the Company's subsidiaries selected on the basis of a risk analysis. Evaluating the overall presentation of the Indicators.

(13.1.1.5) Attach verification/assurance evidence/report (optional)

FY26_CDP レター_NittoDenko.pdf

[Add row]

(13.2) Use this field to provide any additional information or context that you feel is relevant to your organization's response. Please note that this field is optional and is not scored.

(13.2.1) Additional information

(4.6.1) About our basic approach to biodiversity conservation (part of our policy on environment), we support the vision of "a world living in harmony with nature" set forth in the "Kunming-Montreal Global Biodiversity Framework" and the "Keidanren Declaration on Biodiversity and Action Guidelines." We regard the prevention of air pollution, reduction of waste, and conservation of water resources as key issues. We have set specific activity targets for manufacturing sites, including priority areas, and are advancing initiatives to address these issues. For this reason, we believe it is indirectly aligned with the SDGs. (4.11.2) Through Keidanren, we conduct surveys and research on various issues in the fields of economics, industry, society, the environment, science and technology, labor, and management. We believe that we can leverage the knowledge and experience of the business community to propose policies and work toward their implementation. For this reason, we provide annual membership fees to the Keidanren, but the amount of the fees is confidential, so we have listed it as zero yen.

(13.2.2) Attachment (optional)

FY26_CDP 用_Keidanren Declaration for Biodiversity and Guideline (2023-12-12).pdf

[Fixed row]

(13.3) Provide the following information for the person that has signed off (approved) your CDP response.

(13.3.1) Job title

Vice President Director-Corporate Strategy & ESG Management Division

(13.3.2) Corresponding job category

Select from:

☒ Other C-Suite Officer

[Fixed row]

(13.4) Please indicate your consent for CDP to share contact details with the Pacific Institute to support content for its Water Action Hub to facilitate collective action in priority basins.

Select from:

☒ No

